A novel FAD tracking device tested in the Pacific Ocean

Gala Moreno, Tom Crochet, Hilario Murua, Victor Restrepo

[1]International Seafood Sustainability Foundation (ISSF), PA, U.S.A

[2]Collect Location Satellite (CLS), Ramonville Saint-Agne, France

Summary

The present project consisted of testing in real fishing conditions beacons called NAOS to track drifting Fishing Aggregating Devices (FADs). These beacons were designed at CLS and 20 of them were tested for one year (October 2021 to October 2022) in the Pacific Ocean with the help of Atunera Dularra fleet. As part of this project, the usability, transmission quality, durability of the beacon as well as its autonomy were tested. The results show that both the NAOS beacons and fisher´s tracking buoys provided similar trajectories. Fishers monitored and track FADs for an average of 4.5 months, afterwards the FADs were being stolen or drifting out of the fishing ground. NAOS beacon was also able to continue tracking the trajectory of the FAD in the hands of other owners (i.e., when the buoy is replaced) for up to 11 months. Further tests with a larger number of FADs are recommended to improve the effectiveness of these beacons and better understand the technical and logistical needs to track drifting FADs.

Resumen

El objetivo del presente proyecto consistió en probar en condiciones reales de pesca, balizas denominadas NAOS, para monitorizar Dispositivos Concentradores de Peces (DCP) derivantes. Estas balizas se diseñaron en CLS y 20 de ellas se probaron durante un año (de octubre de 2021 a octubre de 2022) en el Océano Pacífico, con la ayuda de la flota Atunera Dularra. En el marco de este proyecto, se estudió la utilidad, la calidad de transmisión, la durabilidad de la baliza así como su autonomía. Los resultados muestran que tanto las balizas NAOS como las balizas que emplean los pescadores proporcionaron trayectorias similares. Los DCP monitorizados permanecieron en manos de los pescadores una media de 4,5 meses antes de ser robados o derivar fuera del área de pesca. En algunos casos, la baliza NAOS siguió la trayectoria del DCP hasta 11 meses incluso después de que otro pescador cambiara la boya del DCP. Se recomienda realizar más pruebas con un mayor número de DCP para la mejora de las balizas NAOS y comprender mejor las necesidades técnicas y logísticas del seguimiento de los DCP derivantes.

1 Context

1.1 FAD marking requirements

NAOS was designed by CLS to meet the recommendations of the Fisheries Committee of the FAO (Voluntary Guidelines of July 2018 referenced COFI/2018/Inf.30) which recommends the marking of gear.

Regarding IATTC´s requirement for FAD marking, Resolution C-19-01 (Annex I) indicates that:

CPCs shall obtain unique alphanumeric codes from the IATTC staff on a periodic basis and distribute those numbers to the vessels in their fleets for FADs that may be deployed or modified, or in the alternative, if there is already a unique FAD identifier associated with the FAD (e.g., the manufacturer identification code for the attached buoy), the vessel owner or operator may instead use that identifier as the unique code for each FAD that may be deployed or modified. The alphanumeric code shall be clearly painted in characters at least 5 cm in height. The characters shall be painted on the upper portion of the attached radio or satellite buoy in a location that does not cover the solar cells used to power the equipment. For FADs without attached radio or satellite buoys, the characters shall be painted on the uppermost or emergent top portion of the FAD. The vessel owner or operator shall ensure the marking is durable (for example, use epoxy-based paint or an equivalent in terms of lasting ability) and visible at all times during daylight. In circumstances where the observer is unable to view the code, the captain or crew shall assist the observer (e.g. by providing the FAD identification code to the observer).

Nowadays in IATTC the buoys used by fishers to monitor their FADs are the principal FAD marking system. In recent years, IATTC scientific staff presented during the *Ad Hoc* working group on FADs the difficulty to follow the track of a given FAD from its deployment to the end of its lifetime. This is due to (i) fishers' appropriation of other´s FADs and thus, exchanging the tracking buoys, and (ii) the deactivation of tracking buoys once FADs drift out of the fishing ground. The need for a marking system that allows monitoring the entire trajectory of the FAD from its deployment is necessary to better understand the dynamics of FAD tracking and tuna along the lifetime of a FAD and to monitor the fate of FADs until the end of their lifetime, allowing their potential retrieval (both at sea or on land).

1.2 NAOS buoy system overview

NAOS is an affordable, low-power satellite buoy for fishing gears´ monitoring. The Argos-GNSS beacon, allows easy track and marking of fishing gears (Figure 1). It can be easily deployed:

- It is robust and small (280mm x 160mm and 1.23kg),
- it floats by itself,
- it is attached thanks to 3 hooks, and
- it is activated just by removing a magnet.



Figure 1 – NAOS system overview

As of today, this beacon is not rechargeable, and its autonomy will depend on the number of positions provided by day (Table 1).

Table 1. Autonomy table for 2 cells NAOS beacons (function of the number of positions per day and satellite transmission strategy)

Number of location per day	Days of autonomy
1	560
2	340
4	150
12	120
24	110
96	90

The NAOS uses a monitoring platform (CLS view or Fishweb). Users can monitor their fishing gears, display corresponding data on the cartographic interface and consult information (Figure 2). Functions include:

- Map customisation,
- Gears trajectories in near-real-time & animate tracks,
- Manage and display information about each gear,
- Add and manage zones for geofencing,
- Draw polygons, lines and points,
- Configure alerts,
- Filter and export data in tabular format,
- Measure distances and calculate Estimate Time of Arrival (ETAs).



Figure 2 - Fishweb interface

2 Project description

First experiments consisted in testing 3 NAOS prototypes in the Mediterranean Sea. Once those experiments in semi-controlled conditions were finished, tests in real fishing conditions were conducted in the EPO. The objective was to study the technical feasibility and the operational interest of beacons for marking FADs by satellite.

2.1 Installation and activation

Twenty NAOS were tested in real fishing conditions during one year (October 2021 to October 2022). Those beacons were attached to drifting FADs and trajectories of the NAOS beacon compared to those of fisher´s tracking system i.e., echo-sounder buoys.

The project began in November 2021. Fifteen NAOS were configured to transmit two positions a day while five transmitted one position. Two types of NAOS were also tested: one with two batteries or two cells and one other with one battery or one cell (Table 1).

Table 2 - NAOS types tested

ID	TID	Activation date	Type	Emission
1	650359	06/11/2021	2 cells	2 positions per day
2	650364	06/11/2021	2 cells	2 positions per day
3	650368	06/11/2021	2 cells	2 positions per day
4	650383	06/11/2021	2 cells	2 positions per day
5	650388	06/11/2021	2 cells	2 positions per day
6	650389	08/11/2021	2 cells	2 positions per day
7	650393	08/11/2021	2 cells	2 positions per day
8	650398	06/11/2021	2 cells	2 positions per day
9	650402	07/11/2021	2 cells	2 positions per day
10	650434	08/11/2021	2 cells	2 positions per day
11	650266	07/11/2021	1 cell	2 positions per day
12	650270	08/11/2021	1 cell	2 positions per day
13	650271	07/11/2021	1 cell	2 positions per day
14	650280	07/11/2021	1 cell	2 positions per day
15	650281	06/11/2021	1 cell	2 positions per day
16	650295	07/11/2021	1 cell	1 position per day
17	650301	06/11/2021	1 cell	1 position per day
18	650302	06/11/2021	1 cell	1 position per day
19	650307	07/11/2021	1 cell	1 position per day
20	650327	07/11/2021	1 cell	1 position per day

Figure 3 - NAOS beacons being prepared on a purse seiner's deck

NAOS beacons were tested onboard Atunera Dularra fleet (Bolton foods) (Figure 3). Some were directly attached to the FADs' rafts while some others were attached with a rope (Figure 4).

Figure 4 – Fixation systems: (left) directly to the FAD and (right) attached to the FAD with a rope.

2.2 FAD marking system monitoring

To monitor NAOS beacons, a Fishweb account was created. The following screenshots are two examples (Figure 5 and 6). Fishers deployed the beacons but had no access to the data, which was monitored by scientists.



Figure 5 – A NAOS monitored on Fishweb



Figure 6 - 14 NAOS beacons transmitting

3 Results

3.1 Comparison with fisher´s echosounder buoys

The study compared the trajectories of fisher´s echosounder buoys with that of NAOS beacons. Figures 7 and 8 are examples of the comparison of the two trajectories: dots in black are NAOS positions and in orange tracks of fisher´s buoys. Although fisher´s buoys used Iridium system, and NAOS used Argos, both trajectories were almost identical.



Figure 7 - NAOS trajectory compared to another FAD tracker



Figure 8 - NAOS trajectory compared to another FAD tracker

Table 3 summarises the fate of each NAOS tracked. By month 7, most of the NAOS were not transmitting and after 11 months only one beacon was still transmitting. 35% (n=7)) of the drifting FADs tested together with the NAOS beacon were appropriated by other vessels between 3 and 5 months after FAD deployment, 30% (n=6) of the FADs and NAOS beacons were out of the fishing ground around by the end of Q1 2022, 15% (n=3) of tested FADs were sold to another company operating in the western Pacific Ocean, and another 20% (n=4) had an unknown fate, which could end up sinking, stranded or stolen by other vessels.

One of the beacon that stopped reporting was retrieved by Atunera Dularra fleet and sent to CLS in France. It appeared that the casing was not robust enough and water filtered inside and it is very likely that other beacons that stopped reporting had the same problem.

Some FADs (IDs 1, 11 and 14, in Table 3) were stolen and NAOS beacon continued providing position so the trajectory of the FAD was monitored even when fishers changed their tracking buoys. Likewise, some of the FADs that were sold (ID 10, Table 3), were tracked after the owner changed.

The NAOS beacon that reported longer, ID 14, was a 1 cell (battery) beacon programmed to report 2 positions per day. So, it seems the lack of data from other beacons may not be related to the lack of battery, as this beacon was able to report twice per day with one cell.

Table 3. NAOS activation date, last position and fate of the FAD

ID	TID	Deployement & Activation date	Last location NAOS	FAD´s fate from fishers	Months monitored by the fisher that deployed it
1	650359	06/11/2021	Mid-May 2022	Stolen 23/02/2022	4
2	650364	06/11/2021	08/04/2022	Stolen 22/04/2022	6
3	650368	06/11/2021	End of January 2022	Stolen 17/03/2022	5
4	650383	06/11/2021	19/03/2022	Out of fishning ground 30/04/2022	6
5	650388	06/11/2021	Begining of March 2022	Out of fishing ground 22/03/2022	5
6	650389	08/11/2021	Mid February 2022	Stolen 27/02/2022	3.5
7	650393	08/11/2021	Mid March 2022	N/A	-
8	650398	06/11/2021	Begining of March 2022	Sold 30/03/2022	5
9	650402	07/11/2021	Mid March 2022	Stolen 23/01/2022	3
10	650434	08/11/2021	Mid July 2022	Sold 30/03/2022	5
11	650266	07/11/2021	Mid April 2022	Stolen 27/02/2022	4
12	650270	08/11/2021	Begining of April 2022	N/A	-
13	650271	07/11/2021	Begining of February 2022	Sold 27/03/2022	5
14	650280	07/11/2021	Mid September 2022	Stolen 20/05/2022	7
15	650281	06/11/2021	Mid February 2022	Out of fishing ground 12/02/2022	4
16	650295	07/11/2021	Begining of April 2022	N/A	-
17	650301	06/11/2021	Mid March 2022	Out of fishing ground 23/05/2022	7
18	650302	06/11/2021	Mid March 2022	N/A	-
19	650307	07/11/2021	Mid January 2022	Out of fishing ground 23/02/2022	4
20	650327	07/11/2021	Begining of February 2022	Out of fishing ground 29/01/2022	3

4 Discussion/conclusion

The results of this experiment, with 20 beacons in real fishing conditions, show that FADs remained less than a year in the hands of the fisher that deployed them. Average monitoring period was 4.5 months, with a minimum and maximum of 3 and 7 months of monitoring respectively (Table 3). Those FADs could remain in the fishing ground on the hands of other fishers or drift out of the fishing ground and end up lost, stranded or sunk. In both cases monitoring the track until the end of their lifetime would be necessary for efficient FAD monitoring purposes.

In this project, two types of NAOS were tested: first type with one battery and the second type with two batteries, with different autonomies and reporting frequency. It would be necessary further tests to find a compromise between the number of positions needed per day to efficiently track the FAD and the total monitoring period needed for a given FAD.

NAOS with four batteries will be soon available to double the autonomy of the beacon: 680 days (almost 2 years) with two positions per day and 1100 days (3 years) with one position per day. And rechargeable beacons will also be available soon.

Apart from the batteries, the following improvements will be made to the beacon and tracking software:

- The casing will be ruggedized to improve watertightness and avoid water leaking.
- In addition to Argos, NAOS will be able to share its data to the future KINEIS constellation in 2024.
- Geofencing up to 100 zones with 100 points each.
- Argos messages will be optimised (duty cycle mode, legacy mode, etc), which will also improve autonomy.
- GPS accuracy will be improved.
- Autonomy will be provided in each message.
- Beacon will send a specific message when the battery runs out.

One of the desired functions of this beacon would be the ability to communicate with fishers´ echosounder buoys so that NAOS can register fisher´s buoys IDs attached to the FAD and the number of fishers´s buoy exchanges.

From this positive experience, in which both, NAOS beacon and fisher´s buoys track provided similar data, we would recommend further tests, with a large number of beacons and FADs monitored, to test and propose technology improvements, beacon´s attachment options to FAD structure, etc. to meet FAD marking requirements and better understand the fate and casuistic when monitoring a larger number of FADs.

Finally, a parallel discussion would be needed to define the potential use of this beacon and other FAD marking systems in the future.

Acknowledgements

We would like to sincerely thank Atunera Dularra fleet from Bolton Foods, both fishing masters and fleet managers for their support in this project. Special thanks to Josu Marcos captain, Daniel Calvo and Sabin Egireun.