

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/332970662>

# Estimates of Seabird Bycatch in Commercial Fisheries off the East Coast of the United States from 2015 to 2016

Technical Report · March 2019

CITATIONS

0

READS

18

3 authors:



**Doug Sigourney**

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

23 PUBLICATIONS 170 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**Christopher D Orphanides**

National Marine Fisheries Service

21 PUBLICATIONS 156 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**Joshua Hatch**

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

12 PUBLICATIONS 29 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



NMFS Northeast Region Planning and Management Documents [View project](#)



**NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE-252**

# Estimates of Seabird Bycatch in Commercial Fisheries off the East Coast of the United States from 2015 to 2016

**US DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  
National Marine Fisheries Service  
Northeast Fisheries Science Center  
Woods Hole, Massachusetts  
March 2019**



## NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE-252

This series represents a secondary level of scientific publishing. All issues employ thorough internal scientific review; some issues employ external scientific review. Reviews are transparent collegial reviews, not anonymous peer reviews. All issues may be cited in formal scientific communications.

# Estimates of Seabird Bycatch in Commercial Fisheries off the East Coast of the United States from 2015 to 2016

Douglas B Sigourney<sup>1</sup>, Christopher D Orphanides<sup>2</sup>, and Joshua M Hatch<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Integrated Statistics, 16 Sumner Street, Woods Hole, MA 02543*

<sup>2</sup>*Northeast Fisheries Science Center, 28 Tarzwell Drive Narragansett, RI 02882*

<sup>3</sup>*Northeast Fisheries Science Center, 166 Water Street, Woods, MA 02543-1026,*

**US DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

Wilbur Ross, Secretary

**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

Timothy Gallaudet, Under Secretary

**National Marine Fisheries Service**

Chris Oliver, Assistant Administrator for Fisheries

**Northeast Fisheries Science Center**

**Woods Hole, Massachusetts**

**March 2019**

## Editorial Notes

**Information Quality Act Compliance:** In accordance with section 515 of Public Law 106-554, the Northeast Fisheries Science Center completed both technical and policy reviews for this report. These predissemination reviews are on file at the NEFSC Editorial Office.

**Species Names:** The NEFSC Editorial Office's policy on the use of species names in all technical communications is generally to follow the American Fisheries Society's lists of scientific and common names for fishes, mollusks, and decapod crustaceans and to follow the Society for Marine Mammalogy's guidance on scientific and common names for marine mammals. Exceptions to this policy occur when there are subsequent compelling revisions in the classifications of species, resulting in changes in the names of species.

**Statistical Terms:** The NEFSC Editorial Office's policy on the use of statistical terms in all technical communications is generally to follow the International Standards Organization's handbook of statistical methods.

**Internet Availability:** This issue of the NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE series is being as a paper and Web document in HTML (and thus searchable) and PDF formats and can be accessed at: <http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/nefsc/publications/>.

## ABSTRACT

This report provides bycatch estimates for 10 species of seabirds caught in commercial fisheries off the east coast of the United States during 2015 and 2016. A total of 655 birds were recorded as bycatch in commercial fishing gear, with 579 birds from the Northeast region and 76 birds in the Mid-Atlantic region. In 2015, total bycatch estimates among all gear types included 14 (CV = 1.02) Common Loons (*Gavia immer*), 49 (CV = 1.01) Double-crested Cormorants (*Phalacrocorax auritus*), 9 (CV = 0.91) Great Black-backed Gulls (*Larus marinus*), 1992 (CV = 0.06) Great Shearwaters (*Puffinus gravis*), 185 (CV = 0.52) Herring Gulls (*Larus smithsonianus*), 51 (CV = 0.28) Northern Fulmars (*Fulmarus glacialis*), 174 (CV = 0.41) Northern Gannets (*Morus bassanus*), 60 (CV = 0.92) Red-throated Loons (*Gavia stellata*), 16 (CV = 0.62) Sooty Shearwaters (*Puffinus griseus*), and 22 (CV = 0.51) Common Murres (*Uria aalge*). In 2016, total bycatch estimates among all gear types included 115 (CV = 0.42) Common Loons, 20 (CV = 0.65) Double-crested Cormorants, 26 (CV = 0.68) Great Black-backed Gulls, 1498 (CV = 0.10) Great Shearwaters, 121 (CV = 0.34) Herring Gulls, 9 (CV = 0.76) Northern Fulmars, 89 (CV = 0.38) Northern Gannets, 553 (CV = 0.19) Red-throated Loons, 81 (CV = 0.37) Sooty Shearwaters, and 16 (CV = 0.80) Common Murres.

## INTRODUCTION

The interaction of marine birds with commercial fishing gear has been recognized as a serious conservation issue that threatens the long-term health of many populations worldwide (Croxxall et al. 2012). A Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the US Department of Commerce National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) and US Department of Interior Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) established a legal framework whereby interagency collaborations are recommended for conservation of migratory birds, in particular seabirds. Under the auspices of the MOU, NMFS is encouraged to conduct bycatch analyses of seabird species and coordinate with FWS to assess the population level effects.

To fulfill this requirement, Warden (2010) estimated interactions of Common and Red-throated Loons in the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic gillnet fisheries from 1996 to 2007. More recently, Hatch (2017) conducted a comprehensive analysis of bycatch for 10 species of seabirds across 6 gear types from 1996 to 2014.

The present study was built off the effort of Hatch (2017) to estimate seabird bycatch during 2015 and 2016. We estimated bycatch for 10 species of seabirds in 6 gear types in the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic United States (see Figure 1). A bycatch event was defined as any recorded interaction of a seabird with commercial fishing gear. We considered all interactions to be bycatch and did not distinguish between nonserious injury, serious injury, or mortality. We assessed bycatch for both fixed (i.e., gillnets, purse seines, and common beach seines) and mobile (i.e., bottom otter trawls, paired midwater trawls, and sea scallop dredges) gear types. For more information on the gear characteristics, target species, spatial/temporal distribution of fishing effort, management regulations, and overall observer coverage, refer to Appendix III in Hayes (2017).

## METHODS

### Fisheries Observer Data

To document the US Atlantic observed seabird bycatch and observer coverage for 2015 and 2016, we extracted data from the Northeast Fisheries Observer Program (NEFOP) and the At-sea Monitoring (ASM) program databases managed by the Northeast Fisheries Science Center. Both monitoring programs use trained people to collect data from commercial fishing vessels with a wide range of variables including kept and discarded catch, configuration of fishing gear, and protected species interactions (Fisheries Sampling Branch, 2016). The ASM monitors collect a reduced dataset in comparison to the NEFOP observers, where the ASM program is focused primarily on groundfish catch in the Northeast region.

### Commercial Fishing Data

We obtained the total commercial fishing effort from mandatory vessel trip reports (VTRs), which the Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office collected. Fishing effort was defined by the most appropriate gear-specific unit of fishing effort. For mobile gears (bottom otter trawls, paired midwater trawls, and sea scallop dredges), we defined fishing effort as the total number of days fished, which we calculated with the equation

$$\text{Days Fished} = \frac{(\text{Average tow duration (hrs)} \times \text{Gear Quantity} \times \text{Number of hauls})}{24}$$

where gear quantity is defined as the number of nets towed per haul and number of hauls is defined as the number of hauls per trip. For fixed gear types (gillnets, purse seines, and common beach seines), we summarized effort by using total fishery landings following similar protocols as in Warden (2010) and Hatch (2017). The VTR data were assumed to be a near census of fishing activity in terms of days fished from all commercial fleets using federally permitted fishing vessels except those solely permitted to catch American lobster (*Homarus americanus*). However, since the self-reported landings on VTRs for fixed gear types are prone to be biased low (Murray 2009), we augmented information on total effort with information contained in other databases. For most areas, these data were from seafood dealer weigh-out slips contained in the Commercial Fisheries Database Biological Sample (CFDBS). For the state of North Carolina, federal data from the VTR and CFDBS program were incomplete, thus, we used data from the North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries Trip Ticket Program in lieu of federal data. Further details in regards to calculating total effort for fixed gear can be found in Hatch (2017).

### Data Imputation

We imputed variables with missing values necessary to estimate seabird–fishery interactions in a manner similar to that developed in Warden and Orphanides (2008). The majority of missing values were replaced with the median or modal value calculated over the portion of a fishing trip that used a particular gear type. For continuous variables, missing values were not imputed if the coefficient of variation (CV) for a particular stratum (e.g., same fishing trip) was  $\geq 30\%$ .

## Analysis

To estimate seabird bycatch we adopted the same Bayesian hierarchical approach detailed in Hatch (2017). We analyzed a total of 20 species-gear combinations for which there was observed bycatch. Each species-gear combination was analyzed separately. Within a species-gear combination, data were stratified by year, statistical area, season, and Fishery Management Plan (FMP). In the few cases where the observed fishing effort for a stratum was greater than its measured total fishing effort, the observed bycatch was considered a complete census for that stratum and therefore was not estimated in the analysis (see Table 1). Statistical areas are shown in Figure 1, FMPs are listed in Table 2, and seasons were defined by calendar quarters.

For all strata where observers did not document any bycatch of seabirds, we assumed the total bycatch to be zero. For strata with observed bycatch, we fit a Bayesian model with the following hierarchical structure:

$$y_{ijs} \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_{ijs} * e_{js})$$

$$\lambda_{ijs} \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij})$$

$$\alpha_{ij} \sim \text{Gamma}(\text{rate}_{ij}, \text{shape}_{ij})$$

$$\beta_{ij} \sim \text{Gamma}(\text{rate}_{ij}, \text{shape}_{ij}) \text{ or } \beta_{ij} \sim \exp(\text{rate}_{ij})$$

where  $y_{ijs}$  is the observed number of bycaught animals of species  $i$  in gear  $j$  and stratum  $s$ ,  $e_{js}$  is the observed fishing effort of gear  $j$  in stratum  $s$ ,  $\lambda_{ijs}$  is the estimated bycatch rate for species  $i$  in gear  $j$  and stratum  $s$ , and  $\alpha_{ij}$  and  $\beta_{ij}$  are hyperparameters specific to species  $i$  and gear  $j$  which are defined by the parameters  $\text{rate}_{ij}$  and  $\text{shape}_{ij}$ .

For the hyperparameters, we constructed informative prior distributions from a post-hoc analysis of the posterior distributions from Hatch (2017), who analyzed data collected in the years 1996 to 2014. Specifically, we fit separate gamma distributions to the posterior distributions of the  $\alpha_{ij}$  and  $\beta_{ij}$  that were estimated in Hatch (2017) for each species-gear combination that was subsequently analyzed in this study. We then used the  $\text{rate}$  and  $\text{shape}$  parameters from each fit as an informed prior for the  $\alpha_{ij}$  and  $\beta_{ij}$  in the analyses of the new data (2015 and 2016). For 2 species-gear combinations, an exponential distribution provided a better fit to the Hatch (2017) posteriors of  $\beta_{ij}$  and was therefore used in lieu of a gamma distribution.

We estimated the total bycatch for species  $i$  in gear  $j$  and stratum  $s$  ( $TB_{ijs}$ ) by multiplying the estimate of the corresponding bycatch rate ( $\lambda_{ijs}$ ) by the measured total fishing effort for gear  $j$  in stratum  $s$  ( $E_{js}$ ):

$$TB_{ijs} = \lambda_{ijs} * E_{js}$$

For all parameters of interest, we sampled from the joint posterior distribution with Markov Chain Monte Carlo sampling algorithms implemented in the software packages R and Just Another Gibbs Sampler (JAGS). Model convergence was assessed by calculating Gelman-Rubin statistics and examining traceplots. Parameter estimates were summarized by computing the mean and standard deviations from their respective marginal distributions. We also summarized estimates of total bycatch within a region (Mid-Atlantic or Northeast) by year and species-gear combination. Annual and region specific estimates of bycatch were estimated by summing the appropriate strata-specific estimates and applying the delta method to calculate the variance of the summed totals.

## RESULTS

There was a total of 3152 distinct strata with commercial fishing effort, and of these strata 1425 (45%) were observed. Strata without any observer coverage represented less than 6% of all commercial fishing effort, and it was assumed there was no seabird bycatch in the unobserved strata. Of the strata that had observer coverage, a total of 81 strata had observed bycatch of seabirds. For strata with observed bycatch, the median observer coverage was 13% and ranged from 1.2% to 100% (Table 1).

Fisheries observers and monitors documented a total of 655 bycatch events of seabirds in 2015 and 2016. Bycatch included 10 species across 4 gear types (Table 1). The majority of observed bycatch was of Great Shearwaters (514) followed by Red-throated Loons (36), Herring Gulls (24), Northern Fulmars (20), Northern Gannets (17), Sooty Shearwaters (14), Common Loons (10), Common Murres (8), Great Black-backed Gulls (7), and Double-crested Cormorants (5). The majority of seabird interactions occurred in gillnets (613) followed by sea scallop dredges (21), bottom otter trawls (16), and paired midwater trawls (5) (Table 1). Total estimates of seabird bycatch for each species-gear combination are found in Tables 3-12. Seasonal variation is depicted in Figure 2. Variation among FMPs was also evident, with the highest percentage of overall bycatch occurring in the dogfish (*Squalus acanthias*) fishery (Figure 3). Variation in total estimates of bycatch among gear types mirrored variation in observed bycatch with the exception of paired midwater trawls which were responsible for a slightly greater percentage of the total estimated bycatch than bottom otter trawls (Figure 4).

In 2015, estimates of total takes across all gear types were 13.51 (CV = 1.02) Common Loons, 48.71 (CV = 1.01) Double-crested Cormorants, 8.91 (CV = 0.91) Great Black-backed Gulls, 1992.45 (CV = 0.06) Great Shearwaters, 185.19 (CV = 0.52) Herring Gulls, 50.60 (CV = 0.28) Northern Fulmars, 174.26 (CV = 0.41) Northern Gannets, 59.77 (CV = 0.92) Red-throated Loons, 16.22 (CV = 0.62) Sooty Shearwaters, and 22.28 (CV = 0.51) Common Murres.

In 2016, estimates of total takes across all gear types were 114.50 (CV = 0.42) Common Loons, 20.33 (CV = 0.65) Double-crested Cormorants, 26.34 (CV = 0.68) Great Black-backed Gulls, 1497.81 (CV = 0.10) Great Shearwaters, 121.34 (CV = 0.34) Herring Gulls, 8.51 (CV = 0.76) Northern Fulmars, 89.19 (CV = 0.38) Northern Gannets, 553.44 (CV = 0.19) Red-throated Loons, 80.93 (CV = 0.37) Sooty Shearwaters, and 15.78 (CV = 0.80) Common Murres.

Regional variation in bycatch was also evident depending on the species (see Tables 3-12). Bycatch of Northern Fulmars, Sooty Shearwaters, and Common Murres only occurred in the Northeast region, whereas bycatch of Double-crested Cormorants and Red-throated Loons only occurred in the Mid-Atlantic region. In addition, the majority of bycatch of Great Shearwaters (>99%) occurred in the Northeast region, whereas the majority of bycatch of Common Loons (>99%) occurred in the Mid-Atlantic region. For all other species, bycatch did not differ greatly among regions.

## DISCUSSION

During 2015 and 2016 fisheries observers and monitors documented a total of 10 seabird species as bycatch in 4 gear types. The bycatch patterns observed in these years were similar to the dominant patterns observed in previous years as reported by Hatch (2017). More species and a greater number of birds were observed in the Northeast region than in the Mid-Atlantic region, but most species were observed as bycatch in both regions. Gillnets had the highest bycatch



followed by sea scallop dredge, bottom otter trawls, and paired midwater trawls. In the Northeast, Great Shearwaters dominated the bycatch and were most likely to be observed in gillnets. In the Mid-Atlantic both Common Loons and Red-throated Loons were the most prevalent and were also most likely to be captured in gillnets.

Approximately 94% of all seabird bycatch occurred in gillnet fisheries. In particular, the dogfish fishery accounted for the highest overall proportion of bycatch mostly from high bycatch of Great Shearwaters. This finding aligned with Hatch (2017) who reported that 84% of all seabird bycatch occurred in gillnets in 1996 - 2014. A number of previous studies have also identified gillnets as the most common western Atlantic gear type involved in seabird interactions (Benjamins et al. 2008; Ellis et al. 2013; Forsell 1999). Further research and mitigation efforts should focus on the characteristics of gillnet fisheries that influence susceptibility of seabirds to bycatch.

Bycatch of great shearwaters dominated overall bycatch in the Northeast region in both 2015 and 2016. The most common location was off Cape Cod in and adjacent to Statistical Area 521 (see Figure 1). Hatch (2017) also found that Great Shearwaters have dominated bycatch in most years since 1996. This can be explained, in part, by Hatch et al. (2016) who demonstrated that Great Shearwater habitat usage greatly overlaps with the areas of highest fishing activity for gillnets. At present, we are not aware of any studies that have attempted to quantify the effect of bycatch on the population dynamics of Great Shearwaters. This species is currently listed under the 2008 Birds of Conservation Concern (USFWS 2008), prompting the need for further investigation into the impact of seabird bycatch on the long-term sustainability of the great shearwater population.

Loons tended to dominate bycatch in the Mid-Atlantic region. Warden (2010) demonstrated that bycatch of loons in gillnets tended to correlate with depth and sea surface temperature. The locations of observed bycatch for both Common and Red-throated Loons in 2015 and 2016 as shown in this study (Figure 1) were similar to that in previous years as reported by Warden (2010). In addition to location, Warden (2010) investigated how bycatch may impact population levels by calculating a potential biological removal (PBR) value. The PBR concept, originally developed for management of human-induced removals of marine mammals, was defined as the maximum number of animals that could be removed annually from a marine mammal stock by humans while allowing that stock to reach or maintain its optimal sustainable population level (Wade 1998). The concept of PBR is generally associated with marine mammal populations, but a number of studies have applied the measure to seabird populations by using a modified equation (Niel and Lebreton 2005; Dillingham and Fletcher 2008; Żydelis et al. 2009). Warden (2010) reported that overall Red-throated Loon bycatch estimates approached 60% of PBR.

Temporal variability was highly seasonal and species dependent. Bycatch seasonal patterns for each species were largely consistent with patterns reported in Hatch (2017). These patterns are assumed to be most likely driven by the breeding and migratory patterns of the different species (Nisbet et al. 2013; Hatch 2017). Annual fluctuations between 2015 and 2016 in bycatch were minor for most species with the exception of Red-throated Loons. Increases in observed bycatch of Red-throated Loons were evident across several strata (see Table 1), and therefore, this annual difference is not solely the result of one large bycatch event. Reasons for these annual fluctuations in loon bycatch could potentially be due to changes in loon foraging patterns, fishing locations, fishing techniques, or quality of the gears used.

This analysis adopted a Bayesian hierarchical approach. One advantage of the Bayesian approach is that estimates from previous analyses can continue to be updated with new data through use of the prior data (Gelman 2007). Because a rigorous analysis of seabird bycatch from 1996 to 2014 had already been conducted by Hatch (2017), new estimates of seabird bycatch were easily updated with more recent data by using the same model structure as Hatch (2017) and informative priors; this approach obviates the need to reanalyze the entire time series with the new data. Overall, the use of informed priors along with the hierarchical model structure reduced the uncertainty especially for the most data poor strata but did not greatly influence the final estimates as this is still a data-driven model (CVs decreased by approximately 10%, and the final total bycatch estimates only differed by less than 5% on average). In addition, we opted to use posterior medians in lieu of posterior means to calculate strata-specific estimates of bycatch from the marginal posterior distributions.

To summarize yearly and region specific totals, we summed the median strata-specific estimates. These derived totals could also be estimated directly in the Bayesian framework; however, the skewness of the posterior distributions results in a discrepancy between the sum of the posterior median estimates and the Bayesian estimates of the summed totals. We compared the 2 approaches and found the difference in estimates was less than 5% and both estimates fell well within the modal range of the posterior distributions. Regardless of how the yearly and region-specific totals are calculated, the uncertainty around the majority of the estimates was relatively high, and all estimates should be interpreted with caution. This high level of uncertainty is common for rare events, such as seabird bycatch. Continued modification of statistical analyses should be considered in future assessments to investigate the appropriate stratification and methods to produce accurate and robust estimates of bycatch.

## REFERENCES CITED

- Benjamins S, Kulka D, Lawson J. 2008. Incidental catch of seabirds in Newfoundland and Labrador gillnet fisheries, 2001-2003. *Endang Species Res.* 5:149–160.
- Croxall JP, Butchart SH, Lascelles B, Stattersfield AJ, Sullivan B, Symes A, Taylor P. 2012. Seabird conservation status, threats and priority actions: A global assessment. *Bird Cons Int.* 22:1–34.
- Dillingham PW, Fletcher D. 2008. Estimating the ability of birds to sustain additional human-caused mortalities using a simple decision rule and allometric relationships. *Biol Conserv* 141:1783–1792
- Ellis JI, Wilhelm SI, Hedd A, Fraser GS, Robertson GJ, Rail J-F, Morgan, FH. 2013. Mortality of migratory birds from marine commercial fisheries and offshore oil and gas production in Canada. *Avian Cons and Ecol.* 8(2):4.
- Fisheries Sampling Branch. 2016. Observer data entry manual. US Department of Commerce, north-east fisheries Science Center. (Available online at: [http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/fsb/manuals/2016/Data\\_Entry\\_Manual.pdf](http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/fsb/manuals/2016/Data_Entry_Manual.pdf))
- Forsell DJ. 1999. Mortality of migratory waterbirds in mid-Atlantic coastal anchored gillnets during March and April 1998. US Fish and Wildlife Service, Chesapeake Bay Field Office Administrative Report.
- Gelman A, Hill J. 2007. Data analysis using regression and multilevel/hierarchical models. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Hatch JM. 2017. Comprehensive estimates of seabird–fishery interactions for the US Northeast and mid-Atlantic. *Aquatic Conserv: Mar Freshw Ecosyst.* 2017:1–12.
- Hatch JM, Wiley D, Murray KT, Welch L. 2016. Integrating satellite-tagged seabird and fishery-dependent data: A case study of great shearwaters (*Puffinus gravis*) and the US New England sink gillnet fishery. *Conserv Let.* 9:43–50.
- Hayes SA, Josephson E, Maze-Foley K, Rosel PE, editors. 2017. US Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico Marine Mammal Stock Assessments -- 2016. NOAA Tech Memo NMFS NE 241; 274 p.
- Murray KT. 2009. Characteristics and magnitude of sea turtle bycatch in US mid-Atlantic gillnet gear. *Endang Species Res.* 8:211–224.
- Niel C, Lebreton JD. 2005. Using demographic invariants to detect overharvested bird populations from incomplete data. *Conserv Biol* 19:826–835

- Nisbet ICT, Veit RR, Auer SA, White TP. 2013. Marine birds of the eastern United States and the Bay of Fundy. Cambridge, MA: Nuttall Ornithological Club.
- USFWS (US Fish and Wildlife Service). 2008. Birds of conservation concern 2008. US Dept Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Migratory Bird Management, Arlington, VA (available at [www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/](http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/))
- Wade PR. 1998. Calculating limits to the allowable human caused mortality of cetaceans and pinnipeds. *Mar Mamm Sci* 14:1–37
- Warden ML. 2010. Bycatch of wintering common and red-throated loons in gillnets off the USA Atlantic coast, 1996-2007. *Aquat. Biol.* 10:167–180.
- Warden ML, Orphanides CD. 2008. Preparation of the north-east fisheries observer program gillnet data for use in bycatch analyses of protected species. US Department of Commerce, North-east Fisheries Science Center Reference Document, 08-17.
- Žydelis R, Bellebaum J, Österblom H, Vetemaa M, Schirmeister B, Stipniece A, Garthe S. 2009. Bycatch in gillnet fisheries – An overlooked threat to waterbird populations. *Biol Conserv* 142:1269-1281.

**Table 1. Observed number of individual seabirds bycaught, amount of observed fishing effort, total fishing effort and consequential percent coverage (% Cov), by strata for each species and gear combination. Only strata with observed bycatch are presented. Gear abbreviations are: GN = gillnet, BOT = bottom trawl, SSD = sea scallop dredge, PMT = paired midwater trawl. Seasons are defined by calendar quarter (Winter = January-March, Spring = April-June, Summer = July-September, and Fall = October-December). Fisheries management plan (FMP) abbreviations are in Table 2. Statistical areas (Area) are shown in Figure 1. Effort is measured by metric tons of fish landed for fixed gear types and days fished for mobile gear types.**

Species	Gear	Year	Season	FMP	Area	Observed Bycatch	Observed Effort	Total Effort	% Coverage	
Common Loon ( <i>Gavia immer</i> )	GN	2015	Winter	Dgx	537	1	7.0	11.9	58.3	
	GN	2015	Winter	Dgx	625	1	22.1	266.1	8.3	
	GN	2016	Spring	Dgx	621	4	16.8	198.5	8.5	
	GN	2016	Spring	Shd	635	1	0.6	14.3	4.5	
	GN	2016	Spring	Smk	635	1	6.2	152.2	4.1	
	GN	2016	Winter	Dgx	625	1	28.7	353.0	8.1	
	GN	2016	Winter	Mnk	615	1	12.2	168.6	7.2	
	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>93.6</b>	<b>1164.6</b>	<b>8.0</b>
Double-crested Cormorant ( <i>Phalacrocorax auritus</i> )	GN	2015	Spring	Dgx	635	1	49.4	388.2	12.7	
	GN	2015	Winter	Oth	635	1	3.8	166.0	2.3	
	GN	2016	Fall	Cro	625	1	1.2	6.7	17.2	
	GN	2016	Fall	Spt	625	2	3.4	26.5	13.0	
	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>57.8</b>	<b>587.4</b>	<b>9.8</b>	
Great Black-backed Gull ( <i>Larus marinus</i> )	BOT	2015	Spring	Ska	537	1	11.8	32.9	35.8	
	BOT	2015	Winter	Msp	514	1	24.4	168.7	14.5	
	BOT	2016	Fall	Msp	512	1	14.4	111.1	13.0	
	GN	2015	Fall	Mnk	537	1	17.6	14.9	100*	
	GN	2016	Fall	Msp	513	1	8.9	63.3	14.0	
	GN	2016	Winter	Msp	514	1	9.5	42.0	22.7	
	SSD	2016	Winter	Sca	622	1	41.3	510.0	8.1	
	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>127.9</b>	<b>942.9</b>	<b>13.6</b>	
Great Shearwater ( <i>Puffinus gravis</i> )	BOT	2015	Fall	Fsb	612	1	12.0	91.4	13.1	
	BOT	2016	Fall	Msp	521	1	36.0	273.6	13.2	
	BOT	2016	Summer	Mnk	521	1	6.8	10.0	68.6	
	GN	2015	Fall	Dgx	521	39	24.1	218.9	11.0	
	GN	2015	Fall	Mnk	513	1	22.3	42.7	52.3	
	GN	2015	Fall	Mnk	514	2	35.9	137.4	26.1	
	GN	2015	Fall	Msp	514	1	5.6	26.4	21.3	
	GN	2015	Fall	Msp	515	69	42.1	194.6	21.7	
	GN	2015	Fall	Msp	521	21	22.2	86.8	25.5	
	GN	2015	Fall	Msp	522	3	7.0	31.5	22.2	
	GN	2015	Fall	Ska	521	8	176.1	774.4	22.7	
	GN	2015	Summer	Dgx	513	1	82.2	387.3	21.2	
	GN	2015	Summer	Dgx	514	1	48.0	323.8	14.8	
	GN	2015	Summer	Dgx	521	22	49.7	734.4	6.8	
	GN	2015	Summer	Mnk	521	9	0.7	8.3	8.7	
	GN	2015	Summer	Msp	515	14	38.4	146.8	26.2	
	GN	2015	Summer	Msp	521	2	14.8	60.5	24.5	
	GN	2015	Summer	Msp	522	11	7.6	26.9	28.2	
	GN	2015	Summer	Ska	521	149	531.4	1993.0	26.7	
	GN	2015	Summer	Ska	537	2	46.0	797.6	5.8	
	GN	2015	Winter	Mnk	612	1	15.1	96.4	15.7	
	GN	2015	Winter	Msp	514	27	54.3	97.8	55.6	
	GN	2016	Fall	Dgx	521	2	70.9	839.0	8.4	
	GN	2016	Fall	Msp	515	2	34.1	121.8	28.0	
	GN	2016	Fall	Ska	521	42	167.1	1276.2	13.1	
	GN	2016	Summer	Dgx	521	28	76.8	1389.0	5.5	
	GN	2016	Summer	Msp	515	7	31.8	115.8	27.4	
	GN	2016	Summer	Ska	521	43	170.0	2420.7	7.0	
	GN	2016	Summer	Ska	539	2	22.9	2.7	100*	
	SSD	2015	Fall	Sca	521	1	24.3	309.0	7.9	
	SSD	2015	Summer	Sca	525	1	76.8	1326.8	5.8	
	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>514</b>	<b>1953</b>	<b>14361.5</b>	<b>13.6</b>

Herring Gull ( <i>Larus smithsonianus</i> )	BOT	2015	Fall	Msp	521	1	112.0	606.3	18.5
	BOT	2015	Fall	Smb	611	1	4.5	89.0	5.0
	BOT	2015	Winter	Sms	525	1	2.4	19.1	12.7
	BOT	2016	Fall	Msp	515	1	22.7	194.0	11.7
	GN	2015	Fall	Msp	515	1	42.1	194.6	21.7
	GN	2015	Summer	Dgx	521	1	49.7	734.4	6.8
	GN	2016	Summer	Ska	521	1	170.0	2420.7	7.0
	SSD	2015	Fall	Sca	613	1	4.7	384.6	1.2
	SSD	2015	Spring	Sca	521	1	44.2	751.3	5.9
	SSD	2015	Spring	Sca	526	1	85.9	449.9	19.1
	SSD	2015	Spring	Sca	613	1	97.4	1082.1	9.0
	SSD	2015	Spring	Sca	615	4	43.6	503.8	8.6
	SSD	2016	Spring	Sca	521	2	69.7	845.0	8.2
	SSD	2016	Spring	Sca	621	3	12.2	155.5	7.8
	SSD	2016	Spring	Sca	622	1	146.5	1628.1	9.0
	SSD	2016	Winter	Sca	622	3	41.3	510.0	8.1
<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>948.9</b>	<b>10568.4</b>	<b>9.0</b>
Northern Fulmar ( <i>Fulmarus glacialis</i> )	GN	2015	Winter	Msp	514	7	54.3	97.8	55.6
	GN	2015	Winter	Msp	515	9	27.4	101.2	27.0
	GN	2015	Winter	Ska	537	1	152.5	1017.1	15.0
	GN	2016	Fall	Msp	515	1	34.1	121.8	28.0
	GN	2016	Winter	Dgx	514	1	10.4	20.9	49.6
	GN	2016	Winter	Msp	514	1	9.5	42.0	22.7
	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>288.2</b>	<b>1400.8</b>
Northern gannet ( <i>Morus bassanus</i> )	BOT	2016	Spring	Smb	622	3	10.4	135.9	7.6
	BOT	2016	Winter	Msp	522	2	44.3	285.1	15.6
	GN	2015	Spring	Dgx	635	1	49.4	388.2	12.7
	GN	2015	Spring	Msp	515	1	51.5	167.3	30.8
	GN	2015	Winter	Dgx	635	1	74.7	1325.4	5.6
	GN	2016	Winter	Cro	636	3	17.5	190.0	9.2
	PMT	2015	Winter	Her	521	5	0.3	8.8	3.2
	SSD	2016	Spring	Sca	616	1	26.2	267.1	9.8
<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>274.3</b>	<b>2767.8</b>	<b>9.9</b>
Red-throated Loon ( <i>Gavia stellata</i> )	GN	2015	Spring	Men	635	1	1.5	74.0	2.1
	GN	2015	Winter	Dgx	625	1	22.1	266.1	8.3
	GN	2016	Spring	Dgx	621	10	16.8	198.5	8.5
	GN	2016	Spring	Dgx	625	1	16.1	185.7	8.6
	GN	2016	Spring	Sbt	621	1	3.7	11.3	32.5
	GN	2016	Winter	Dgx	625	2	28.7	353.0	8.1
	GN	2016	Winter	Dgx	631	3	60.7	518.5	11.7
	GN	2016	Winter	Dgx	635	3	133.3	1861.0	7.2
	GN	2016	Winter	Shd	635	14	1.0	25.0	4.0
<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>283.9</b>	<b>3493.1</b>	<b>8.1</b>
Sooty Shearwater ( <i>Puffinus griseus</i> )	BOT	2016	Fall	Msp	521	1	36.0	273.6	13.2
	GN	2015	Fall	Msp	522	1	7.0	31.5	22.2
	GN	2015	Spring	Msp	513	1	8.9	56.6	15.8
	GN	2015	Summer	Ska	521	2	531.4	1993.0	26.7
	GN	2016	Fall	Dgx	521	1	70.9	839.0	8.4
	GN	2016	Fall	Ska	521	7	167.1	1276.2	13.1
	GN	2016	Summer	Ska	521	1	170.0	2420.7	7.0
	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>991.3</b>	<b>6890.6</b>
Common Murre ( <i>Uria aalge</i> )	GN	2015	Winter	Dgx	514	2	12.8	44.1	29.1
	GN	2015	Winter	Msp	514	2	54.3	97.8	55.6
	GN	2015	Winter	Ska	537	2	152.5	1017.1	15.0
	GN	2016	Winter	Ska	537	2	119.2	1008.6	11.8
	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>338.8</b>	<b>2167.6</b>

Species	Gear	Year	Season	FMP	Area	Observed Bycatch	Observed Effort	Total Effort	% Coverage
Common Loon ( <i>Gavia immer</i> )	GN	2015	Winter	Dgx	537	1	7.0	11.9	58.3
	GN	2015	Winter	Dgx	625	1	22.1	266.1	8.3
	GN	2016	Spring	Dgx	621	4	16.8	198.5	8.5
	GN	2016	Spring	Shd	635	1	0.6	14.3	4.5
	GN	2016	Spring	Smk	635	1	6.2	152.2	4.1
	GN	2016	Winter	Dgx	625	1	28.7	353.0	8.1
	GN	2016	Winter	Mnk	615	1	12.2	168.6	7.2
	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>93.6</b>	<b>1164.6</b>
Double-crested Cormorant ( <i>Phalacrocorax auritus</i> )	GN	2015	Spring	Dgx	635	1	49.4	388.2	12.7
	GN	2015	Winter	Oth	635	1	3.8	166.0	2.3
	GN	2016	Fall	Cro	625	1	1.2	6.7	17.2
	GN	2016	Fall	Spt	625	2	3.4	26.5	13.0
	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>57.8</b>	<b>587.4</b>
Great Black-backed Gull ( <i>Larus marinus</i> )	BOT	2015	Spring	Ska	537	1	11.8	32.9	35.8
	BOT	2015	Winter	Msp	514	1	24.4	168.7	14.5
	BOT	2016	Fall	Msp	512	1	14.4	111.1	13.0
	GN	2015	Fall	Mnk	537	1	17.6	14.9	100*
	GN	2016	Fall	Msp	513	1	8.9	63.3	14.0
	GN	2016	Winter	Msp	514	1	9.5	42.0	22.7
	SSD	2016	Winter	Sca	622	1	41.3	510.0	8.1
	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>127.9</b>	<b>942.9</b>

\*Observer effort is greater than total effort so coverage was assumed to be 100%.

**Table 2. Definitions and abbreviations for Fishery Management Plans (FMP).**

<b>FMP</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>Cro</b>	Atlantic croaker ( <i>Micropogonias undulatus</i> )
<b>Dgx</b>	Spiny dogfish ( <i>Squalus acanthias</i> )
<b>Fsb</b>	Summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass Summer flounder ( <i>Paralichthys dentatus</i> ) Scup ( <i>Stenotomus chrysops</i> ) Black sea bass ( <i>Centropristis striata</i> )
<b>Her</b>	Atlantic herring ( <i>Clupea harengus</i> )
<b>Men</b>	Atlantic menhaden ( <i>Brevoortia tyrannus</i> )
<b>Mnk</b>	Monkfish ( <i>Lophius americanus</i> )
<b>Msp</b>	Northeast multispecies (groundfish) Atlantic cod ( <i>Gadus morhua</i> ) Haddock ( <i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i> ) Yellowtail flounder ( <i>Limanda ferrugineus</i> ) Atlantic pollock ( <i>Pollachius virens</i> ) American plaice ( <i>Hippoglossoides platessoides</i> ) Witch flounder ( <i>Glyptocephalus cynoglossus</i> ) White hake ( <i>Urophycis tenuis</i> ) Windowpane flounder ( <i>Scophthalmus aquosus</i> ) Atlantic halibut ( <i>Hippoglossus hippoglossus</i> ) Winter flounder ( <i>Pseudopleuronectes americanus</i> ) Acadian Redfish ( <i>Sebastes fasciatus</i> ) Atlantic wolffish ( <i>Anarhichas lupus</i> ) Ocean pout ( <i>Zoarces americanus</i> )
<b>Oth</b>	Other
<b>Sbt</b>	Sharks, billfish, swordfish, and tuna
<b>Sca</b>	Atlantic sea scallops ( <i>Placopecten magellanicus</i> )
<b>Shd</b>	American Shad ( <i>Alosa sapidissima</i> ) and river herring Alewife ( <i>Alosa pseudoharengus</i> ) Blueback herring ( <i>Alosa aestivalis</i> )
<b>Ska</b>	Northeast skate complex Winter skate ( <i>Leucoraja ocellata</i> ) Barndoor skate ( <i>Dipturus laevis</i> ) Thorny skate ( <i>Amblyraja radiata</i> ) Smooth skate ( <i>Malacoraja senta</i> ) Little skate ( <i>Leucoraja erinacea</i> ) Clearnose skate ( <i>Raja eglanteria</i> ) Rosette skate ( <i>Leucoraja garmani</i> )
<b>Smb</b>	Squid mackerel and butterfish Squid ( <i>Doryteuthis pealeii</i> , <i>Illex illecebrosus</i> ) Atlantic mackerel ( <i>Scomber scombus</i> ) Butterfish ( <i>Peprilus triacanthus</i> )
<b>Smk</b>	Spanish mackerel ( <i>Scomberomorus maculatus</i> )
<b>Sms</b>	Small mesh multispecies Silver hake ( <i>Merluccius bilinearis</i> ) Red hake ( <i>Urophycis chuss</i> ) Offshore hake ( <i>Merluccius albidus</i> )
<b>Spt</b>	Spot ( <i>Leiostomus xanthurus</i> )



**Table 3. Common Loon (*Gavia immer*) bycatch estimates, rates, and coefficient of variation (CV) by year, region, gear type, season, and fisheries management plan (FMP). Totals for each year by region and by gear combination are summarized in bold font. Region abbreviations are NE for the Northeast and MA for the Mid-Atlantic. Seasons are defined by calendar quarter, FMP abbreviations can be found in Table 2, and bycatch rates are bycaught seabirds per metric tons for fixed gear and landings for mobile gear.**

Year	Region	Gear	Season	FMP	Bycatch		CV
					Rate	Estimate	
2015	MA	Gillnet	Winter	Dgx	0.04	11.84	1.15
<b>2015</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>11.84</b>	<b>1.15</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>11.84</b>	<b>1.15</b>
2015	NE	Gillnet	Winter	Dgx	0.14	1.67	1.15
<b>2015</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>1.67</b>	<b>1.15</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>1.67</b>	<b>1.15</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>13.51</b>	<b>1.02</b>
2016	MA	Gillnet	Spring	Dgx	0.24	46.70	0.52
2016	MA	Gillnet	Spring	Shd	1.28	18.29	1.15
2016	MA	Gillnet	Spring	Smk	0.16	23.73	1.19
2016	MA	Gillnet	Winter	Dgx	0.03	12.10	1.16
2016	MA	Gillnet	Winter	Mnk	0.08	13.67	1.16
<b>2016</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>114.50</b>	<b>0.42</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>114.50</b>	<b>0.42</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>114.50</b>	<b>0.42</b>

**Table 4. Double-crested Cormorant (*Phalacrocorax auritus*) bycatch estimates, rates, and coefficient of variation (CV) by year, region, gear type, season, and fisheries management plan (FMP). Totals for each year by region and by gear combination are summarized in bold font. Region abbreviations are NE for the Northeast and MA for the Mid-Atlantic. Seasons are defined by calendar quarter, FMP abbreviations can be found in Table 2, and bycatch rates are bycaught seabirds per metric tons for fixed gear and landings for mobile gear.**

Year	Region	Gear	Season	FMP	Bycatch		CV
					rate	Estimate	
2015	MA	Gillnet	Spring	Dgx	0.02	7.54	1.18
2015	MA	Gillnet	Winter	Oth	0.25	41.17	1.18
<b>2015</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>48.71</b>	<b>1.01</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>48.71</b>	<b>1.01</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>48.71</b>	<b>1.01</b>
2016	MA	Gillnet	Fall	Cro	0.81	5.47	1.17
2016	MA	Gillnet	Fall	Spt	0.56	14.86	0.78
<b>2016</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>20.33</b>	<b>0.65</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>20.33</b>	<b>0.65</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>20.33</b>	<b>0.65</b>

**Table 5. Great Black-backed Gull (*Larus marinus*) bycatch estimates, rates, and coefficient of variation (CV) by year, region, gear type, season, and fisheries management plan (FMP). Totals for each year by region and by gear combination are summarized in bold font. Region abbreviations are NE for the Northeast and MA for the Mid-Atlantic. Seasons are defined by calendar quarter, FMP abbreviations can be found in Table 2, and bycatch rates are bycaught seabirds per metric tons for fixed gear and landings for mobile gear.**

Year	Region	Gear	Season	FMP	Bycatch		
					rate	Estimate	CV
2015	NE	Bottom Otter Trawl	Spring	Ska	0.07	2.35	1.29
2015	NE	Bottom Otter Trawl	Winter	Msp	0.03	5.86	1.27
<b>2015</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Bottom Otter Trawl</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>8.21</b>	<b>0.98</b>
2015	NE	Gillnet	Fall	Mnk	0.05	0.70	1.29
<b>2015</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>0.70</b>	<b>1.29</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>8.91</b>	<b>0.91</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>8.91</b>	<b>0.91</b>
2016	MA	Sea Scallop Dredge	Winter	Sca	0.02	10.29	1.28
<b>2016</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>Sea Scallop Dredge</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>10.29</b>	<b>1.28</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>10.29</b>	<b>1.28</b>
2016	NE	Bottom Otter Trawl	Fall	Msp	0.06	6.57	1.27
<b>2016</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Bottom Otter Trawl</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>6.57</b>	<b>1.27</b>
2016	NE	Gillnet	Fall	Msp	0.09	5.84	1.30
2016	NE	Gillnet	Winter	Msp	0.09	3.65	1.28
<b>2016</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>9.48</b>	<b>0.94</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>16.06</b>	<b>0.76</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>26.34</b>	<b>0.68</b>

**Table 6. Great Shearwater (*Puffinus gravis*) bycatch estimates, rates, and coefficient of variation (CV) by year, region, gear type, season, and fisheries management plan (FMP). Totals for each year by region and by gear combination are summarized in bold font. Region abbreviations are NE for the Northeast and MA for the Mid-Atlantic. Seasons are defined by calendar quarter, FMP abbreviations can be found in Table 2, and bycatch rates are bycaught seabirds per metric tons for fixed gear and landings for mobile gear.**

Year	Region	Gear	Season	FMP	Bycatch		
					rate	Estimate	CV
2015	NE	Gillnet	Summer	Dgx	0.16	334.15	0.21
2015	NE	Gillnet	Summer	Mnk	8.51	70.94	0.34
2015	NE	Gillnet	Summer	Msp	0.63	98.19	0.20
2015	NE	Gillnet	Summer	Ska	0.16	593.38	0.09
2015	NE	Gillnet	Fall	Dgx	1.60	350.30	0.16
2015	NE	Gillnet	Fall	Mnk	0.05	9.58	0.65
2015	NE	Gillnet	Fall	Msp	0.78	414.52	0.10
2015	NE	Gillnet	Fall	Ska	0.05	35.25	0.36
2015	NE	Gillnet	Winter	Msp	0.49	48.35	0.19
<b>2015</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>1954.66</b>	<b>0.06</b>
2015	NE	Sea Scallop Dredge	Summer	Sca	0.01	14.40	1.27
2015	NE	Sea Scallop Dredge	Fall	Sca	0.03	10.53	1.28
<b>2015</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Sea Scallop Dredge</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>24.93</b>	<b>0.91</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>1979.59</b>	<b>0.06</b>
2015	MA	Bottom Otter Trawl	Fall	Fsb	0.07	6.52	1.26
<b>2015</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>Bottom Otter Trawl</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>6.52</b>	<b>1.26</b>
2015	MA	Gillnet	Winter	Mnk	0.07	6.33	1.14
<b>2015</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>6.33</b>	<b>1.14</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>12.86</b>	<b>0.85</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>1992.45</b>	<b>0.06</b>
2016	NE	Bottom Otter Trawl	Summer	Mnk	0.12	1.24	1.26
2016	NE	Bottom Otter Trawl	Fall	Msp	0.02	6.59	1.26
<b>2016</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Bottom Otter Trawl</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>7.84</b>	<b>1.08</b>
2016	NE	Gillnet	Summer	Dgx	0.36	503.34	0.19
2016	NE	Gillnet	Summer	Msp	0.22	25.24	0.39
2016	NE	Gillnet	Summer	Ska	0.17	610.30	0.15
2016	NE	Gillnet	Fall	Dgx	0.03	23.68	0.76
2016	NE	Gillnet	Fall	Msp	0.06	7.15	0.76
2016	NE	Gillnet	Fall	Ska	0.25	320.25	0.15
<b>2016</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>1489.97</b>	<b>0.10</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>1497.81</b>	<b>0.10</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>1497.81</b>	<b>0.10</b>

**Table 7. Herring Gull (*Larus smithsonianus*) bycatch estimates, rates, and coefficient of variation (CV) by year, region, gear type, season, and fisheries management plan (FMP). Totals for each year by region and by gear combination are summarized in bold font. Region abbreviations are NE for the Northeast and MA for the Mid-Atlantic. Seasons are defined by calendar quarter, FMP abbreviations can be found in Table 2, and bycatch rates are bycaught seabirds per metric tons for fixed gear and landings for mobile gear.**

Year	Region	Gear	Season	FMP	Bycatch		
					rate	Estimate	CV
2015	NE	Bottom Otter Trawl	Fall	Msp	0.01	4.67	1.26
2015	NE	Bottom Otter Trawl	Winter	Sms	0.35	6.67	1.27
<b>2015</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Bottom Otter Trawl</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>11.34</b>	<b>0.91</b>
2015	NE	Gillnet	Summer	Dgx	0.02	12.26	1.28
2015	NE	Gillnet	Fall	Msp	0.02	3.81	1.30
<b>2015</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>16.07</b>	<b>1.02</b>
2015	NE	Sea Scallop Dredge	Spring	Sca	0.01	18.72	1.01
<b>2015</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Sea Scallop Dredge</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>18.72</b>	<b>1.01</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>46.13</b>	<b>0.59</b>
2015	MA	Bottom Otter Trawl	Fall	Smb	0.19	17.31	1.25
<b>2015</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>Bottom Otter Trawl</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>17.31</b>	<b>1.25</b>
2015	MA	Sea Scallop Dredge	Spring	Sca	0.05	53.46	0.50
2015	MA	Sea Scallop Dredge	Fall	Sca	0.18	68.28	1.26
<b>2015</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>Sea Scallop Dredge</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>121.74</b>	<b>0.74</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>139.05</b>	<b>0.66</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>185.19</b>	<b>0.52</b>
2016	NE	Bottom Otter Trawl	Fall	Msp	0.04	7.44	1.25
<b>2016</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Bottom Otter Trawl</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>7.44</b>	<b>1.25</b>
2016	NE	Gillnet	Summer	Ska	>0.01	11.86	1.28
<b>2016</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>11.86</b>	<b>1.28</b>
2016	NE	Sea Scallop Dredge	Spring	Sca	0.03	22.07	0.80
<b>2016</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Sea Scallop Dredge</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>22.07</b>	<b>0.80</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>41.38</b>	<b>0.61</b>
2016	MA	Sea Scallop Dredge	Spring	Sca	0.12	45.20	0.56
2016	MA	Sea Scallop Dredge	Winter	Sca	0.07	34.77	0.62
<b>2016</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>Sea Scallop Dredge</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>79.96</b>	<b>0.42</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>79.96</b>	<b>0.42</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>121.34</b>	<b>0.34</b>

**Table 8. Northern Fulmar (*Fulmarus glacialis*) bycatch estimates, rates, and coefficient of variation (CV) by year, region, gear type, season, and fisheries management plan (FMP). Totals for each year by region and by gear combination are summarized in bold font. Region abbreviations are NE for the Northeast and MA for the Mid-Atlantic. Seasons are defined by calendar quarter, FMP abbreviations can be found in Table 2, and bycatch rates are bycaught seabirds per metric tons for fixed gear and landings for mobile gear.**

Year	Region	Gear	Season	FMP	Bycatch		CV
					rate	Estimate	
2015	NE	Gillnet	Winter	Msp	0.22	44.91	0.27
2015	NE	Gillnet	Winter	Ska	0.01	5.70	1.28
<b>2015</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>50.60</b>	<b>0.28</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>50.60</b>	<b>0.28</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>50.60</b>	<b>0.28</b>
2016	NE	Gillnet	Fall	Msp	0.03	3.05	1.29
2016	NE	Gillnet	Winter	Dgx	0.08	1.70	1.26
2016	NE	Gillnet	Winter	Msp	0.09	3.76	1.24
<b>2016</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>8.51</b>	<b>0.76</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>8.51</b>	<b>0.76</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>8.51</b>	<b>0.76</b>

**Table 9. Northern Gannet (*Morus bassanus*) bycatch estimates, rates, and coefficient of variation (CV) by year, region, gear type, season, and fisheries management plan (FMP). Totals for each year by region and by gear combination are summarized in bold font. Region abbreviations are NE for the Northeast and MA for the Mid-Atlantic. Seasons are defined by calendar quarter, FMP abbreviations can be found in Table 2, and bycatch rates are bycaught seabirds per metric tons for fixed gear and landings for mobile gear.**

Year	Region	Gear	Season	FMP	Bycatch		
					rate	Estimate	CV
2015	NE	Gillnet	Spring	Msp	0.02	2.94	1.23
<b>2015</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>2.94</b>	<b>1.23</b>
2015	NE	Paired Midwater Trawl	Winter	Her	16.94	148.22	0.45
<b>2015</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Paired Midwater Trawl</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>148.22</b>	<b>0.45</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>151.16</b>	<b>0.45</b>
2015	MA	Gillnet	Spring	Dgx	0.02	7.14	1.21
2015	MA	Gillnet	Winter	Dgx	0.01	15.97	1.23
<b>2015</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>23.11</b>	<b>0.93</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>23.11</b>	<b>0.93</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>174.26</b>	<b>0.41</b>
2016	NE	Bottom Otter Trawl	Winter	Msp	0.04	12.17	0.79
<b>2016</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Bottom Otter Trawl</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>12.17</b>	<b>0.79</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>12.17</b>	<b>0.79</b>
2016	MA	Bottom Otter Trawl	Spring	Smb	0.28	37.72	0.62
<b>2016</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>Bottom Otter Trawl</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>37.72</b>	<b>0.62</b>
2016	MA	Gillnet	Winter	Cro	0.16	31.18	0.62
<b>2016</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>31.18</b>	<b>0.62</b>
2016	MA	Sea Scallop Dredge	Spring	Sca	0.03	8.12	1.34
<b>2016</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>Sea Scallop Dredge</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>8.12</b>	<b>1.34</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>77.02</b>	<b>0.42</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>89.19</b>	<b>0.38</b>

**Table 10. Red-throated Loon (*Gavia stellata*) bycatch estimates, rates, and coefficient of variation (CV) by year, region, gear type, season, and fisheries management plan (FMP). Totals for each year by region and by gear combination are summarized in bold font. Region abbreviations are NE for the Northeast and MA for the Mid-Atlantic. Seasons are defined by calendar quarter, FMP abbreviations can be found in Table 2, and bycatch rates are bycaught seabirds per metric tons for fixed gear and landings for mobile gear.**

Year	Region	Gear	Season	FMP	Bycatch		CV
					rate	Estimate	
2015	MA	Gillnet	Spring	Men	0.64	47.51	1.12
2015	MA	Gillnet	Winter	Dgx	0.05	12.26	1.13
<b>2015</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>59.77</b>	<b>0.92</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>59.77</b>	<b>0.92</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>59.77</b>	<b>0.92</b>
2016	MA	Gillnet	Spring	Dgx	0.33	129.47	0.31
2016	MA	Gillnet	Spring	Sbt	0.27	3.09	1.12
2016	MA	Gillnet	Winter	Dgx	0.05	92.19	0.38
2016	MA	Gillnet	Winter	Shd	13.13	328.69	0.27
<b>2016</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>553.44</b>	<b>0.19</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>553.44</b>	<b>0.19</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>553.44</b>	<b>0.19</b>



Table 11. Sooty Shearwater (*Puffinus griseus*) bycatch estimates, rates, and coefficient of variation (CV) by year, region, gear type, season and fisheries management plan (FMP). Totals for each year by region and by gear combination are summarized in bold font. Region abbreviations are NE for the Northeast and MA for the Mid-Atlantic. Seasons are defined by calendar quarter, FMP abbreviations can be found in Table 2, and bycatch rates are bycaught seabirds per metric tons for fixed gear and landings for mobile gear.

Year	Region	Gear	Season	FMP	Bycatch		
					rate	Estimate	CV
2015	NE	Gillnet	Spring	Msp	0.10	5.45	1.24
2015	NE	Gillnet	Summer	Ska	0.00	6.94	0.80
2015	NE	Gillnet	Fall	Msp	0.12	3.83	1.27
<b>2015</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>16.22</b>	<b>0.62</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>16.22</b>	<b>0.62</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>16.22</b>	<b>0.62</b>
2016	NE	Bottom Otter Trawl	Fall	Msp	0.02	6.10	1.32
<b>2016</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Bottom Otter Trawl</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>6.10</b>	<b>1.32</b>
2016	NE	Gillnet	Summer	Ska	0.01	12.26	1.28
2016	NE	Gillnet	Fall	Dgx	0.01	10.40	1.23
2016	NE	Gillnet	Fall	Ska	0.04	52.16	0.39
<b>2016</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>74.83</b>	<b>0.38</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>80.93</b>	<b>0.37</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>80.93</b>	<b>0.37</b>

Table 12. Common Murres (*Uria aalge*) bycatch estimates, rates, and coefficient of variation (CV) by year, region, gear type, season, and fisheries management plan (FMP). Totals for each year by region and by gear combination are summarized in bold font. Region abbreviations are NE for the Northeast and MA for the Mid-Atlantic. Seasons are defined by calendar quarter, FMP abbreviations can be found in Table 2, and bycatch rates are bycaught seabirds per metric tons for fixed gear and landings for mobile gear.

Year	Region	Gear	Season	FMP	Bycatch		
					rate	Estimate	CV
2015	NE	Gillnet	Winter	Dgx	0.15	6.40	0.79
2015	NE	Gillnet	Winter	Msp	0.03	3.39	0.79
2015	NE	Gillnet	Winter	Ska	0.01	12.48	0.79
<b>2015</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>22.28</b>	<b>0.51</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>22.28</b>	<b>0.51</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>22.28</b>	<b>0.51</b>
2016	NE	Gillnet	Winter	Ska	0.02	15.78	0.80
<b>2016</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>Gillnet</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>15.78</b>	<b>0.80</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>NE</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>15.78</b>	<b>0.80</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>		<b>15.78</b>	<b>0.80</b>





Figure 1. Map of seabird bycatch in the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic regions in 2015 and 2016 for Common Loon (*Gavia immer*), Double-crested Cormorant (*Phalacrocorax auritus*), Great Black-backed Gull (*Larus marinus*), Great Shearwater (*Puffinus gravis*), Herring Gull (*Larus smithsonianus*), Northern Fulmar (*Fulmarus glacialis*), Northern Gannet (*Morus bassanus*), Red-throated Loon (*Gavia stellata*), Sooty Shearwater (*Puffinus griseus*), Common Murre (*Uria aalge*).



**Figure 2. Seasonal estimates of seabird bycatch by species for 2015 and 2016 combined for Common Loon (*Gavia immer*), Common Murre (*Uria aalge*), Double-crested Cormorant (*Phalacrocorax auritus*), Great Black-backed Gull (*Larus marinus*), Great Shearwater (*Puffinus gravis*), Herring Gull (*Larus smithsonianus*), Northern Fulmar (*Fulmarus glacialis*), Northern Gannet (*Morus bassanus*), Red-throated Loon (*Gavia stellata*), Sooty Shearwater (*Puffinus griseus*).**

### Percent Total Bycatch by FMP




Figure 3. Percentage of total seabird bycatch in 2015 and 2016 by fishery management plan (FMP) for all species and years combined. Definitions for fisheries management plans (FMPs) can be found in Table 2.

### Percent Total Bycatch by Gear




Figure 4. Percentage of total seabird bycatch in 2015 and 2016 by gear type for all species and years combined.

## Publishing in NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE

---

### Manuscript Qualification

This series represents a secondary level of scientific publishing in the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). For all issues, the series employs thorough internal scientific review, but not necessarily external scientific review. For most issues, the series employs rigorous technical and copy editing. Manuscripts that may warrant a primary level of scientific publishing should be initially submitted to one of NMFS's primary series (*i.e.*, *Fishery Bulletin*, *NOAA Professional Paper NMFS*, or *Marine Fisheries Review*).

Identical, or fundamentally identical, manuscripts should not be concurrently submitted to this and any other publication series. Manuscripts which have been rejected by any primary series strictly because of geographic or temporal limitations may be submitted to this series.

Manuscripts by Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) authors will be published in this series upon approval by the NEFSC's Deputy Science & Research Director. Manuscripts by non-NEFSC authors may be published in this series if: 1) the manuscript serves the NEFSC's mission; 2) the manuscript meets the Deputy Science & Research Director's approval; and 3) the author arranges for the printing and binding funds to be transferred to the NEFSC's Research Communications Branch account from another federal account. For all manuscripts submitted by non-NEFSC authors and published in this series, the NEFSC will disavow all responsibility for the manuscripts' contents; authors must accept such responsibility.

The ethics of scientific research and scientific publishing are a serious matter. All manuscripts submitted to this series are expected to adhere -- at a minimum -- to the ethical guidelines contained in Chapter 2 ("Publication Policies and Practices") of the *Scientific Style and Format: the CSE Manual for Authors, Editors, and Publishers*, seventh edition (Reston VA: Council of Science Editors). Copies of the manual are available at virtually all scientific libraries.

### Manuscript Preparation

**Organization:** Manuscripts must have an abstract, table of contents, and -- if applicable -- lists of tables, figures, and acronyms. As much as possible, use traditional scientific manuscript organization for sections: "Introduction," "Study Area," "Methods & Materials," "Results," "Discussion" and/or "Conclusions," "Acknowledgments," and "References Cited."

**Style:** All NEFSC publication and report series are obligated to conform to the style contained in the most recent

edition of the *United States Government Printing Office Style Manual*. That style manual is silent on many aspects of scientific manuscripts. NEFSC publication and report series rely more on the *CSE Style Manual*, seventh edition.

For in-text citations, use the name-date system. A special effort should be made to ensure that the list of cited works contains all necessary bibliographic information. For abbreviating serial titles in such lists, use the guidance of the International Standards Organization; such guidance is easily accessed through the various Cambridge Scientific Abstracts' serials source lists (see <http://www.public.iastate.edu/~CYBERSTACKS/JAS.htm>). Personal communications must include date of contact and full name and mailing address of source.

For spelling of scientific and common names of fishes, mollusks, and decapod crustaceans from the United States and Canada, use *Special Publications* No. 29 (fishes), 26 (mollusks), and 17 (decapod crustaceans) of the American Fisheries Society (Bethesda MD). For spelling of scientific and common names of marine mammals, use *Special Publication* No. 4 of the Society for Marine Mammalogy (Lawrence KS). For spelling in general, use the most recent edition of *Webster's Third New International Dictionary of the English Language Unabridged* (Springfield MA: G. & C. Merriam).

**Typing text, tables, and figure captions:** Text, tables, and figure captions should be converted to Word. In general, keep text simple (*e.g.*, do not switch fonts and type sizes, do not use hard returns within paragraphs, do not indent except to begin paragraphs). Also, do not use an automatic footnoting function; all notes should be indicated in the text by simple numerical superscripts, and listed together in an "Endnotes" section prior to the "References Cited" section. Especially, do not use a graphics function for embedding tables and figures in text.

Tables should be prepared with a table formatting function. Each figure should be supplied in digital format (preferably GIF or JPG), unless there is no digital file of a given figure. Except under extraordinary circumstances, color will not be used in illustrations.

### Manuscript Submission

Authors must submit separate digital files of the manuscript text, tables, and figures. The manuscript must have cleared NEFSC's online internal review system. Non-NEFSC authors who are not federal employees will be required to sign a "Release of Copyright" form.

Send all materials and address all correspondence to: Jarita A. Davis (Editor), Editorial Office, NMFS Northeast Fisheries Science Center, 166 Water Street, Woods Hole, MA 02543-1026.

National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA  
166 Water St.  
Woods Hole, MA 02543-1026

**MEDIA  
MAIL**

## **Publications and Reports of the Northeast Fisheries Science Center**

The mission of NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) is "stewardship of living marine resources for the benefit of the nation through their science-based conservation and management and promotion of the health of their environment." As the research arm of the NMFS's Northeast Region, the Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) supports the NMFS mission by "conducting ecosystem-based research and assessments of living marine resources, with a focus on the Northeast Shelf, to promote the recovery and long-term sustainability of these resources and to generate social and economic opportunities and benefits from their use." Results of NEFSC research are largely reported in primary scientific media (*e.g.*, anonymously-peer-reviewed scientific journals). However, to assist itself in providing data, information, and advice to its constituents, the NEFSC occasionally releases its results in its own media. Currently, there are three such media:

*NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE* -- This series is issued irregularly. The series typically includes: data reports of long-term field or lab studies of important species or habitats; synthesis reports for important species or habitats; annual reports of overall assessment or monitoring programs; manuals describing program-wide surveying or experimental techniques; literature surveys of important species or habitat topics; proceedings and collected papers of scientific meetings; and indexed and/or annotated bibliographies. All issues receive internal scientific review and most issues receive technical and copy editing.

*Northeast Fisheries Science Center Reference Document* -- This series is issued irregularly. The series typically includes: data reports on field and lab studies; progress reports on experiments, monitoring, and assessments; background papers for, collected abstracts of, and/or summary reports of scientific meetings; and simple bibliographies. Issues receive internal scientific review, but no technical or copy editing.

*Resource Survey Report* (formerly *Fishermen's Report*) -- This information report is a quick-turnaround report on the distribution and relative abundance of selected living marine resources as derived from each of the NEFSC's periodic research vessel surveys of the Northeast's continental shelf. There is no scientific review, nor any technical or copy editing, of this report.

**OBTAINING A COPY:** To obtain a copy of a *NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE* or a *Northeast Fisheries Science Center Reference Document*, or to subscribe to the *Resource Survey Report*, either contact the NEFSC Editorial Office (166 Water St., Woods Hole, MA 02543-1026; 508-495-2228) or consult the NEFSC webpage on "Reports and Publications" (<http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/nefsc/publications/>).

**ANY USE OF TRADE OR BRAND NAMES IN ANY NEFSC PUBLICATION OR REPORT DOES NOT IMPLY ENDORSEMENT.**