7th Meeting of the Ad Hoc Working Group on FADs 12-13 May 2023



Analyses of the regional database of stranded drifting Fish Aggregating Devices (dFADs) in the Pacific Ocean

Escalle L., Mourot J., Thellier T., Lopez J., Fuller L., Wichman J., Royer S.J., Hood L., Bigler B., Jaugeon B., Nicholas T.R., Pollock K., Prioul F., Marks A., Kutan M., Jones J., Lynch J.M., Tait H., Hamer P.

Introduction



Extensive use of Drifting Fishing Aggregating Devices (FADs)

- ➤ 46,000-65,000 deployments per year in the Pacific (16,000-25,000 in the EPO)
- Potential environmental impacts
 Sustainability of tuna stocks
 Bycatch

Entanglement / ghost fishing Marine pollution Damage to coastal areas



Lack of information on the environmental impacts linked to FADs loss and abandonment













Introduction

dFAD stranding events

- > 7% of stranding events in the WCPO ; unknown rate in the EPO
- > Underestimation due to buoy deactivation when lost or abandoned





Regional stranded FAD data collection programme

Objectives





- 1. Quantify the number of dFAD stranding events or dFADs drifting nearshore
- 2. Assess the resulting pollution and ecosystem impacts, including on species of special interest (SSIs) and key habitats
- 3. Evaluate materials and designs of dFADs found stranded, in relation to past and current use of dFADs in the Pacific Ocean
- 4. Evaluate how communities and PICTs may repurpose or recycle dFAD materials and satellite buoys locally, when possible
- 5. Consider ways to mitigate the impacts of dFADs and provide scientific-based advice to guide the management of dFADs in the Pacific Ocean

Data collected in-situ

WHAT TO DO IF YOU FIND A DRIFTING FISH

AGGREGATING DEVICE (FAD)?

Awareness and communication materials

Sin K

69 520-2700

RECORD ANY OF THESE DETAILS:

- What did you find ?
 - a FAD by itself
 - a FAD with a buoy
 - a buoy by itself
- Buoy ID number and any mark painted on the buoy
- Date found
- Location (Lat/Lon or name of beach, village, island...)

Where is the buoy ID number ?







ISL+123456 DSL+123456

T7+123456789 P1234NF



123456

WINT IS A DRIFTING FAD ? It is a raft, generall a tail of net courts and or canvas and assatellin nuov-deployed by tuna corsessimers to aggregate and ratch



P12348F

FISH AGGREGATING DEVICE (FAD) DRIFTING FID FOUND BEACHED OR AT SEA 3

Where is the buoy ID number ?

MI0123456

17-122416/789

nt Di0323456289

To quantify the

number of beacher or lost FADs, and to note their impact on coastal areas, which will help improve the management of FAD listing

WHAT TO DO WITH THE FAD?

If possible, tow the drifting FAD back to shore then contact the Norma office.

RECORD ANY OF THESE DETAILS:

What did you find ? - a FAD by itself - a FAD with a buoy - a buoy by itself Buoy ID number and any mark painted on the buoy

Date found Location (Lat/Lon or name of beach, village, island...)

e processe whe

Environment: at-sea, coral reef, beach, lagoon Materials: bamboo, net, cord, floats Tail length (if possible) What did you do with the FAD/buoy? (e.g. removed from water or land, left drifting, sunk, fished) Any additional comments? (e.g. environmental damage entangled animals or aggregated tuna or other animals



SEND AN EMAIL TO: jamel.james@norma.fm 320-2700 OR CALL







M3I123456

or Ze0123456789

Data collected in-situ

WHAT TO DO IF YOU FIND A DRIFTING FISH

AGGREGATING DEVICE (FAD)?

Awareness and communication materials

>== ×

69 \$20-2700



FISH AGGREGATING DEVICE (FAD) DRIFTING FID FOUND BEACHED OR AT SEA 3 Where is the buoy ID number ? 17-122436780 P12348F MI0123456 or Di0323456289 WHY ARE HE COLLECTING THIS AND: To quantify the number of beached WHAT IS A DRIFTING FAD? or lost FADs, and to it is a raft, generally note their impact on made of bamboo, with coastal areas, which a tail of net, cords and will help improve the or canvas and a satellite management of FAD nucy deployed by listing tuna corsessimers to aggregate and catch Tuna. WHAT TO DO WITH THE FAD? If possible, tow the drifting FAD back to shore then contact the Norma office. RECORD ANY OF THESE DETAILS: What did you find ? - a FAD by itself TAKE PICTURES: - a FAD with a buoy General picture of what you found - a buoy by itself A close-up of the buoy with the ID number Buoy ID number and any mark painted on the buoy visible Date found Location (Lat/Lon or name of beach, village, island...) IF POSSIBLE, NOTE: SEND AN EMAIL TO: jamel.james@norma.fm Environment: at-sea, coral reef, beach, lagoon 320-2700 OR CALL Materials: bamboo, net, cord, floats Tail length (if possible) What did you do with the FAD/buoy? (e.g. removed from water or land, left drifting, sunk, fished) Any additional comments? (e.g. environmental damage entangled animals or aggregated tuna or other animals

TAKE PICTURES:

- General picture of what you found
- A close-up of the buoy with the ID number visible

Where is the buoy ID number ?









123456



ISL+123456 DSL+123456

M3I123456

T7+123456789 P1234NF or Ze0123456789

Data collected in-situ

WHAT TO DO IF YOU FIND A DRIFTING FISH AGGREGATING DEVICE (FAD)?

Awareness and communication materials

SE L

VA \$20-2700

IF POSSIBLE, NOTE:

- Environment: at-sea, coral reef, beach, lagoon
- Materials: bamboo, net, cord, floats
- Tail length (if possible)
- What did you do with the FAD/buoy? (e.g. removed from water or land, left drifting, sunk, fished)
- Any additional comments? (e.g. environmental damage, entangled animals or aggregated tuna or other animals)





Number of pictures:

Comments:

Pacific Community Communauté du Pacifique

Data collection in each country (fisheries department or local partner)

	FAD SIGNLING IC	Entered in the database		
Data colle	ected regarding FADs, FAD debris and/	or satellite b	uoys found. Conta	ct 28721 or <u>rar@mmr.gov.ck</u>
		Form		
Completed on:	Completed by: First nam	ne:	Surname:	
	Observer/ pe	rson who fe	ound the FAD	
Name:	Phone number:	Email	:	
	Sight	ting inform	ation	
	(Tick one or several) 🗆 A FAD	and/or	A buoy - ID N	umber:
	drifting FAD anchored FAD		Satellite luse	d on FADs) 🗇 Other:
Date of finding:	Location (village, island,	beach, bay	etc.):	
Coordinates (if possi	bie):		P-	
Precise location (in c	ase of absence of coordinates, describe	e where it w	as found);	
Environment: 🗆 Bea previously) 🗆 Wharf	ch □Coral reef □Drifting in the lagoor (found previously) □Other:	n 🗆 Drifting	in the ocean 🗆 Roo	cky shore □Mangrove □Garden (found
If found previously (a	arden, wharf, landfill), initial date and	location:		
	FA	D Informat	ion	
Painted marks on th	e buoy: Marks	on the FAD		
FAD condition: 🗆 Int	act 🗆 Beginning to break 🗆 Mostly fall	len apart		
Submerged tail pres	ence (i.e., part of the FAD normally und	der water]: [⊡Yes ⊡No ⊡Parti	al 🗌 Unknown
Raft materials: 🗆 Un	known 🗆 Bamboo 🗆 Wood 🗆 Metal d	rum 🗆 Plast	ic drum 🗆 Floats 🗆]PVC tubes □Cords □Steel □Nets,
mesh size:	Cotton canvas DPlastic sheet D	Palm leaves	DPolystyrene D0)ther:
Shape of the raft: 🗆	Square 🗆 Rectangular 🗀 Floats « saus	lage × ∟Cγli	ndrical LiOther:	
Submerged tail mate	erials: ⊡Unknown ⊡Palm leaves ⊡C Cord ⊡Cotton canvas ⊡Plastic sheet I)pen net, me D0ther	esh size:	∐Net tied as a "sausage", mesh
Estimated size of the	raft (m) // ength x Widthis	La section :	Estimated	leath of submerzed tail (m):
Letter and the of the		the FAD / -	- hume	and an and the few rest fully
	Pate of	the FAD/ th	ie ouoy	
	UYes* If yes, why? Elizandfill E	Burned 🔲	Research CRecycle	d Storage Re-used (specify):
FAD removed?		Burned DR	ecycled DResearch	Storage Re-used (specify):
FAD removed? [] No "If found in a gouder or he Buoy removed? [] Ve	If so, why? Landfill	2013. 222	k DOther:	1000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10
FAD removed? No "If found in a gorden or ho Buoy removed? Ye "If found in a gorden or ho	is 🗆 No* If so, why? 🗆 Landfill 🗆 use, sheck yes If no, fate: 🗆 Unknown [□Left □Su		
FAD removed? No "If found in a garden or he Buoy removed? Ye "If found in a garden or he	If so, why? □Landfill □ use, sheck yes If no, fate:□Unknown □ Impa	Left ⊡5u	e life	
FAD removed? No 'if found in a gasdee or he Buoy removed? Ye if found in a gasdee or he intangled animals?	IS UNO* If so, why? Clandfill U use, sheck yes If no, fate: Unknown D Impai	⊔Left ⊡Sur ct on marin sh ⊡Marine	i <u>e life</u> mammal ⊡0ther	1.

Regional database

Data entry

Local database





PICT	Start of the program	Events recorded
French Polynesia	2019	1,044
Cook Islands	2020	238
Australia	2004	221
Wallis and Futuna	2020	165
Federated States Micronesia	2021	152
Galapagos	Opport./ 2024	est. >150*
Marshall Islands	2021	102
Hawaiʻi	2014	84
Palmyra	2009	63
Tuvalu	2022	58
New Caledonia	2022	46
Pitcairn	Opportunistically	7
Tonga	Opportunistically	7
Wake Island (US)	Opportunistically	6
Vanuatu	Opportunistically	3
Fiji	Opportunistically	1
PNG	Opport./under discussion	1
Samoa	Opport./under discussion	1
Solomon Islands	Under discussion	0
TOTAL		~2.350







Analyses of the regional database

Summary of stranding events Spatial distribution Characteristics of FADs found stranded Habitat impacted Environmental impacts Origin of stranded FADs



Summary of stranding events









Summary of stranding events



Type of objectsaFADdFADdFAD & Satellite buoySatellite buoyOtherUnknown



Pacific

Community

dFAD



dFAD & Satellite buoy



Satellite buoy









Other





Summary of stranding events

Satellite buoy







214

600·

Number of buoys

200-

0

AU

CK

FJ

FM

HW

MH

NC

PF

PG

PN

PY

то

TV

VU

WF

WK WS

173

112

1









13

253





Number of stranding events per 1° square



French Polynesia: - only PICT in the regional database located in the IATTC (& WCPFC)

- presents the highest number of stranding events (high data collection effort)



French Polynesia



ATAD

diaD

dFAD & Satellite

Satellite buoy Unknown uté

UC



French Polynesia

Dedicated surveys in 9 Tuamotu islands in 2022



Tikehau

TU



Tureia

1

French Polynesia





Other PICTs located to the east of the WCPO

Hawai'i (main Hawaiian Islands)







The Cook Islands (Rarontonga and Aitutaki)



Offshore Fisheries Division, Ministry of Marine Resources

Pacific

Community

Communauté du Pacifique

Type of FADs found stranded

Submerged appendages						
	N %					
Present	482	39.3				
Absent	557	45.4				
Unknown	187	15.3				
Total	1226					



















Characteristics of FADs found stranded

Materials





Structure and flotation

Raft covering





Submerged appendages

Materials



- Net, Plastic materials
- Bamboo, Net, Weight



Characteristics of FADs found stranded

Designs



Shape of the raft	Unknown	Rectangular	Square	Cylindrical	Buoy sausage	Octagonal	Boat shape
Percentage	52.9%	24.5%	12.6%	5.1%	4.6%	0.2%	0.1%











Design	Percentages	Mesh net size	Percentages	15
Unknown design	39.1%	Unknown size	13.4%	
Open panel	30.2%	Small (< 7 cm)	47.5%	
Rolled up in a bundle	27.2%	Large (≥7cm)	35.6%	Sec. 1
Mixed design	3.5%	Small and large	3.5%	118



Habitats impacted

	Total
Number of events	2191
ENVIRONMENT	
Unknown	11.2%
Beach	40.3%
Previously collected*	28.8%
Drifting in the ocean	8.1%
Coral reef	5.9%
Shore	3.7%
Drifting in the lagoon	1.7%
Mangrove	0.2%
Anchored in the ocean	0%



24



Environmental impacts

		Pacific Community
out tail	aFAD	du Pacifique
%	22.4%	

	Total FADs	dFAD	dFAD with tail	dFAD without tail	aFAD
Unknown	54.5%	54.5%	63.9%	35.5%	22.4%
No damage recorded	39.8%	39.8%	26.1%	62.3%	72.4%
Entangled on corals	3%	3%	6.8%	0.2%	3.4%
Entangled on rocks	2%	2%	2.3%	1.8%	0.0%
Entangled with animals	0.6%	0.6%	0.9%	0.2%	1.7%













	Small (<7cm)	Large (≥7cm)	Small and large	Unknown size
Coral damage	22.6% (7)	9.7% (3)	6.5% (2)	35.5% (11)
Rocks damage	12.9% (4)	3.2% (1)	0.0% (0)	9.7% (3)



Origin of buoys found stranded in the Pacific Ocean

- > Unique Buoy Identification number searched in available fishery databases
 - WCPFC and IATTC observer database: last recorded activity on the buoy
 - PNA FAD tracking database: last available transmission from the buoy (position and date)
- > Marking on the buoy (i.e., vessel name)
 - WCPFC and IATTC online vessel register



Unique Buoy Identification number – searched in WCPFC observer database (red dots) IATTC observer database (orange dots) PNA FAD tracking database (blue dots)





Unique Buoy Identification number – searched in WCPFC observer database (red dots) IATTC observer database (orange dots) PNA FAD tracking database (blue dots)





Unique Buoy Identification number – searched in WCPFC observer database (red dots) IATTC observer database (orange dots) PNA FAD tracking database (blue dots)



Origin of buoys found stranded



Marking on the buoy (i.e., vessel name)

 \rightarrow WCPFC and IATTC online vessel register



Conclusion



- > Data collection in-country complements trajectory data (when available)
- Stranding events of deactivated buoys or FAD without a buoy Next steps: estimates global number of stranding events
- More detailed information on the characteristic of the stranding event, including environmental impacts
- > Many PICTs located in the WCPO present stranding events from dFADs used in the EPO
- Need to collect data in the IATTC-CA
- Need to consider recovery approaches to limit FAD loss and abandonment and mitigation approaches to reduce environmental impacts in coastal environments
- Develop recycling facilities in PICTs, and/or develop initiatives to re-use buoys or FADs (Satlink ReCon project)

Recommendations

- Pacific Community Communauté du Pacifique
- 1. Note the preliminary results from analyses of the regional database presented in this paper.
- 2. Note the need for FAD-buoy trajectory data, including for historical periods, from both the IATTC and WCPFC convention areas to better determine the origin of FADs and buoys found stranded and explore spatial management options to reduce stranding events.
- 3. Consider the need for in-situ data to be collected to better quantify dFAD stranding events and the impacts of dFADs on marine and coastal ecosystems.
- 4. Encourage the expansion of the in-country data collection programs to other members of IATTC.
- 5. Highlight the need to explore potential FAD retrieval programs, before dFADs reach coastal areas, as a measure to mitigate the impacts of lost FADs, and encourage collaboration between companies and management bodies (with or without fees upon retrieval).
- 6. Consider ways to mitigate impacts of dFADs, develop solutions to process/recycle FAD materials in ports, and provide scientific-based advice to guide the management of dFADs in the Pacific Ocean.





Acknowledgments

Jennyfer Mourot and other co-authors

World Wildlife Fund (WWF) provided funding to print and ship posters in the Cook Islands and Wallis and Futuna; activities are organised by Tiare-Renee Nicholas and Chloé Faure. The New Zealand Aid Program provided funding for the awareness and data collection program in the Federated States of Micronesia and the Marshall Islands, with James Wichman and Beau Bigler organising the awareness and data collection activities. The Fond Pacifique provided funding for the awareness and data collection program in Tuvalu, New Caledonia, and Wallis and Futuna and allowed the scientific analyses of the database performed by Jennyfer Mourot. Mainui Tanetoa, Margot Boval, Jean-Claude Gaertner, Charles Daxboeck, Marie Soehnlen, Anne-Marie Trinh are part of the data collection projects in French Polynesia, that are funded by the Direction des Ressources Marines, the University of French Polynesia/ Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD) and The Nature Conservancy (TNC). Palmyra Atoll data collection is part of a larger dFAD Watch Program currently being developed and is funded by TNC and led by Kydd Pollock. Sarah-Jeanne Royer and Jennifer Lynch from the Center for Marine Debris Research at Hawai'i Pacific University are organising the data collection in Hawai'i. Tangaroa Blue Foundation would like to thank all of the Australian Marine Debris Initiative partners and volunteers involved in the collection and provision of data used in this report. For a list of AMDI partners who have contributed to the AMDI Database, please visit https://www.tangaroablue.org. The authors are grateful for the assistance provided by the fisheries department and officers in the field in each of the PICTs involved. The authors would also like to thank the local communities, fishermen, and general public involved in data collection in the PICTs. The authors are also grateful for any opportunistic report provided, including findings in Australia; Cook Islands (Te Ipukarea Society); New Caledonia (Association Hô-üt); Pitcairn Islands (The Pew Charitable Trusts); Samoa; Tuvalu; and Vanuatu. The authors would like to thank the members of the Parties to the Nauru Agreement, the Pacific Islands Regional Fisheries Observer Programme, and the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission for giving us access to their data for this analysis; as well as observers involved in the collection of observer data.





202



Buoys



FADs









