

Busan, South Korea 8-16, August 2018

Progress on the WCPFC stock assessments and shark research plan (Summary table) WCPFC-SC14-2018/EB-WP-04

WCPFC Secretariat, SPC-OFP, ABNJ and ISC

This report updates the progress of the Shark Research Plan based on Table 1 and Table 2 in Attachment I of the SC12 Summary Report and WCPFC-SC13-2017/EB-IP-09. The document outlines previously agreed work and potential new work for 2019. The 2019 work programme will be reviewed by an Informal Small Group (ISG) at SC14 which will be tasked with reviewing the shark research plan; recommending any changes to project list; and providing associated indicative budgets for each project. It is recommended that the ISG take into account the report from Project 78 (WCPFC-SC14-2018/EB-WP-XX) in particular Annex 4 of that report.

For explanations of the intent of the work outlined in the Tables 1 and 2, refer to the Shark Research Plan (SC11-EB-WP-01) and WCPFC-SC12-2016/EB-IP-16.

To assist the WCPFC Science Research Sub-Committee's deliberations, SC14 is invited to review these projects and the stock assessment schedule.

Table 1. Schedule of analyses under the WCPFC Shark Research Plan and proposed future tuna and billfish stock assessments. New proposed project outlines for 2019 are identified with # and the project details are provided in the subsequent tables for 2019 proposed work. For 2018, work submitted to SC14 with reports or project updates are indicated in red with the corresponding SC14 paper number for ease of reference.

| Species | Stock | Last assessment | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
|------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--|---|---|----------------------------------|------|
| | | | TUNA and | BILLFISH | - | - | - |
| Bigeye tuna | WCPO | 2017 | Update (SC14-SA-WP-03) SPC | | Х | | |
| | Pacific-wide | - | | | | | |
| Skipjack tuna | WCPO | 2016 | | X | | | X |
| tuna | WCPO | 2017 | <u>a</u> 1 | | X | | |
| Albacore | South Pacific | 2015 | Stock assessment (SC14-SA-WP-05) SPC | | | X | |
| Pacific bluefin | North Pacific | 2016 | Stock assessment (SC14-SA-WP-06) ISC | | | Х | |
| Striped | Southwest Pacific | 2012 | | Originally scheduled for 2018 | | | |
| marlin | Northwest Pacific | 2012 | | х | | | |
| Course of Cook | Southwest Pacific | 2017 | | | | | |
| Swordhsir | North Pacific | 2014 | Stock assessment (SC14-SA-WP-07) ISC | | | | |
| | | | SHA | ARKS | - | - | - |
| | WCPO | 2013 | | Assessment (SPC) depends on proj 78 and Pacific-wide assessment | | | |
| Silky shark | Pacific-wide | - | Assessment (SC14-SA-WP-08) ABNJ | | Stock discrimination? Note: Maybe better directed at another species ISG discuss pending outcome of PW assessment? | Stock discrimination? | |
| Oceanic whitetip shark | WCPO | 2012 | | Assessment (if data supports now observer only) (SPC) depends on proj 78 | | | |
| Blue shark | Southeast Pacific | - | | Data preparation to support assessment <mark>#1</mark> | Assessment (if data supports) (WCPFC) | | |
| | Southwest Pacific | 2016 | | | Assessment data preparation (SPC) (if South Pacific wide is preferred this can be cancelled) | Assessment (if data supports) | |
| | South Pacific- wide | - | | | | Assessment (if data supports) | |
| | North Pacific | 2017 | Stock Assessment and Future Projections | | Assessment (ISC) | | |

| Species | Stock | Last assessment | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
|--------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|---|---|---------------------|------|
| | | | (SA-WP-09) | | | | |
| | Southeast Pacific | - | | Data preparation to support assessment #1 | Assessment (if data supports) (WCPFC) | | |
| Mako shark (shortfin) | Southwest Pacific | - | | Assessment (if data supports) #2 | | | |
| | Northwest Pacific | 2015 (Indicator analysis) | Assessment (ISC) (SC14-SA-WP-11) | | | Assessment (ISC) | |
| | South Pacific wide | - | | Assessment data preparation (SPC) | Assessment (if data supports) | | |
| Porbeagle | Pacific-wide (southern hemisphere) | 2017 | | | | | |
| Bigeve | WCPO | - | | | | | |
| thresher | Pacific-wide | 2017 | | | | | |
| Hammerhead | WCPO | - | | Biological research to determine species- specific age, growth and reproductive parameters? #3 Update catch history? Can be done as part of #4 SC13 #8 can be withdrawn if rolled into #4 Both projects above should be discussed in the light of proj 78 | Stock discrimination? Biological research to determine species- specific age, growth and reproductive parameters? #3 continued | | |
| | Pacific-wide | - | | | | | |
| | WCPO | - | | Stock discrimination? #5 | Stock discrimination? | | |
| Whaleshark | Pacific-wide | - | Risk assessment based on purse seine interactions (SC14-SA-12) ABNJ | | | | |
| Manta and mobulids | WCPO | - | Develop manta and mobulid - observer training and identification guides (SC14-EB-IP-xx) (ABNJ+SPC) | | | | |

| Species | Stock | Last assessment | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--|--|---|-------------------------|---------------|
| General shark work | WCPO | NA | Review of shark data and modelling framework to support stock assessments (proj 78) (SC14-EB-WP-02) WCPFC/SPC SRP mid-term review? SC13#7 but now rolled into proj 78. Post-release mortality of silky and oceanic whitetip sharks in longline and purse seine fisheries (SC13-EB-IP-06) (SC14-EB-IP-02) SPC/ABNJ Identifying LRPs for elasmobranchs (ongoing initial draft to SC14) (SC14-MI-WP-07) WCPFC tender Longline bycatch estimation (SC14-ST-WP-03) - SPC Purse seine bycatch estimation (SC14-ST-IP-04) - SPC Silky shark tagging movement and FAD entanglement (ongoing - no paper for SC14) (ISSF) | Operational and management histories #4 Updated indicator analysis? (Pending outcome of proj 78 and SC14 deliberations decide on scope and species to be covered) Shark modelling Project #6 Operational planning for shark biological data improvement #7 Assess spawner recruit relationships? #8 | Develop a 2021-2025 shark research plan to be presented to SC16 in 2020? | | |
| shark CMM(s) | WCPFC key sharks | Not previously undertaken: | some alternative suggestion | as in the text require re Cl | view in 2021. This should MM is agreed. | be decided after any fi | nalised shark |

2019 Proposed work

| Sheet Number | 1 |
|--------------|--|
| Project | Southeast Pacific data preparation to support blue and shortfin mako assessments |
| Objectives | Collaborate with the Chilean Instituto de Fomento Pesquero (IFOP) to prepare data inputs for use in future Pacific-wide assessments of blue and shortfin mako sharks. |
| Rationale | Builds upon the momentum of collaboration established under the ABNJ porbeagle stock status assessment Chile has expressed a strong interest in future joint analyses Leverages ABNJ funds to incorporate Eastern Pacific data Future blue and shortfin mako assessments will be more realistic and robust if EPO catches are considered Will complement the North Pacific-wide assessments by ISC |
| Assumptions | Chile maintains its interest in working on this topic Future assessments of blue and shortfin mako sharks are planned Either a study visit could be arranged to bring a Chilean scientist to SPC (or other location) or a consultant would visit Chile ABNJ funds are available to support this data preparatory work |
| Scope | Utilizing data from Chile's industrial longline fleet (which has 85% observer coverage since 2006), as well as from its artisanal longline and driftnet fleets, all of which are targeting swordfish, the study would work toward a number of data products relevant to blue and shortfin mako sharks in the Southeast Pacific including: |
| | developing indices of abundance compiling biological data (e.g. length frequencies by sex) gathering relevant parameters (e.g. size at maturity, reproductive periodicity) from regional published and unpublished studies accessing or estimating catch and effort data from available sources describing operational characteristics including hook depth, soak time, leader material, hook type, bait type, targeting strategies etc., as well as any changes in these over time, to assist with interpreting selectivity or catchability trends producing a stand-alone report for submission to the WCPFC Scientific Committee and/or a scientific journal describing the findings of the study. |
| Budget | 0.5 FTE |

| Sheet Number | 2 |
|---------------|---|
| Project title | Southwest Pacific mako shark assessment |
| Objectives | Undertake a quantitative assessment of southwest Pacific Ocean mako sharks. |
| Rationale | This species is unproductive and susceptible to overfishing, but has never had a formal stock assessment undertaken to assess the impact of fishing. Furthermore, shortfin mako sharks are listed as vulnerable on the IUCN's Red List due to a decline in their abundance. |
| Assumptions | Much of the existing fisheries and biological data are readily available. Assessment personnel are available to undertake this work |
| Scope | Reviewing the previous shark assessment in the WCPO to assess and improve on methods to increase the understanding of data strengths and weaknesses, and update stock status. Update WCPO LL catch estimates and abundance indices using recent observer data. The analysis should consider what might be appropriate limit reference points for this species, but in the absence of any agreed reference points present the stock status in terms of F/F_{MSY} and $SB/SB_{F=0}$ ratios. Prepare a report containing the above results for SC14. If the data are too poor to undertake a full quantitative assessment then an indicator analysis may be appropriate. |
| | Note: The ISC is undertaking an assessment of mako sharks in the north Pacific in 2018, and collaboration with these scientists to progress methods and data preparation procedures would be useful for both assessments. |
| Budget | 1 FTE |

| Sheet Number | 3 |
|---------------|---|
| Project title | Hammerhead shark biology |
| Objectives | Review the findings and references from the WCPFC Pacific shark life-history expert panel workshop to identify which species, and for which regions the age and growth uncertainties are highest. Then undertake biological sampling and age and growth reproductive analyses to fill those gaps. |
| Rationale | Data on hammerhead sharks are extremely sparse; these species are both oceanic and coastal and data for these species are very patchy in time and space (Rice et al. 2015). As a result, an age-structured modelling approach is unlikely to result in a reliable estimate of stock status. |
| | integrated assessment, understanding of the fishes biology is essential. Furthermore, in the absence of an assessment, an understanding of the biology of a species can provide some insights into the productivity of a stock and its susceptibility to fishing pressure. |
| Assumptions | Samples can be sourced within the timeframes required. Sufficient samples from across the species distribution can be collected. |
| Scope | Phase 1: conduct a review of the findings from the WCPFC Pacific shark life-history expert panel workshop to identify which hammerhead shark species, and for which regions the age and growth uncertainties are highest. Then undertake an assessment of the likelihood of collecting samples for these species in sufficient quantities to undertake meaningful analyses. |
| | Phase 2: using the results of phase 1, undertake biological sampling and age and growth reproductive analysis to identify the productivity, longevity and reproductive capacity of these species. |
| | Note: 1) at SC12 a project to review the operational planning for shark biological data improvement was proposed but did not go ahead and is tabled again in project <i>Sheet 3</i> above, the results of that work may need to be considered prior to considering this project, which could be postponed for one year if project #3 is approved. |
| Budget | 0.5 FTE (first year) 1 FTE (once all the samples have been collected) |

| Sheet Number | 4 |
|--------------|--|
| Project | Operational and management histories for WCPO longline fleets |
| Objectives | Compile timelines and brief descriptions for major longline fleets detailing the history of management measures and operational practices |
| Rationale | This project addresses an SC11 (and prior) discussion about how to interpret changes in CPUE indices and the potential biases in constructing indices of stock abundance based on standardised CPUE from various fleets' data without knowing and adequately accounting for operational and management changes over time. As indices of stock abundance are one of the key inputs to stock assessment models, adequately accounting for changes in operational practices that may influence CPUE is a high priority. Australia has produced a simple fleet history that can serve as a template for other CCMs (WCPFC-SC12-2016/SA-IP-11). These histories would serve as a resource not only for WCPFC analyses but for any analyses of Pacific shark data |
| Assumptions | The information exists and can be located in a reasonable timeframe CCMs are willing to assist with producing their own fleet histories Funding is available to assist CCMs in producing their summaries (if they wish) |
| Scope | The fleet histories should, in the first instance, focus on longline fleets as it is these data that are often used as indices of stock abundance. Separate fleet histories for purse seine fleets could also be prepared as resources allow. The fleet histories should include details on management measures, fishing strategies, gears and sampling regimes over time. It is anticipated that each history would be up to 3 pages of text with key events described in sequence, with a few key figures and an excel spreadsheet version of the timeline. |
| | A coordinator should be appointed to compile and assist with the fleet histories. For those CCMs that are willing to produce their own fleet histories, the coordinator would just be involved in editing, formatting and ensuring consistency between different histories. For those CCMs that are willing to have a fleet history produced but cannot undertake it themselves, the coordinator could assist in writing up information or interviews facilitated by the CCM for approval by the CCM. At a minimum, the coordinator could research and pull together public domain information for each fleet. |
| | A collection of fleet histories would be presented by the coordinator to SC13, with the potential for CCMs to update or replace them over time. |
| Budget | 0.3 FTE (scalable depending on national participation) |

| Sheet Number | 5 |
|---------------|--|
| Project title | Whale shark stock discrimination |
| Objectives | Develop an understanding of the stock structure of whale sharks in the Pacific Ocean. |
| Rationale | The stock structure of whale sharks in the Pacific Ocean is not well understood and developing an understanding of a population's stock structure and connectivity is essential for effective management of any species, as it identifies the appropriate spatial context for management actions. Whale shark population connectivity have been assessed through photographic identification, however, whale sharks are observed only rarely throughout their range except for the few locations where seasonal aggregations of whale sharks occur. Satellite tags have been used in a few studies with either limited deployments or in discreet areas such as the Red Sea. Genetic analysis has indicated that whale sharks represent three major populations in the Pacific, Caribbean, and Indian Oceans. Within each ocean there is little genetic differentiation between animals, indicating historical gene flow between populations and well mixed populations within each Ocean. Both the tagging and genetic analyses have been based on low numbers of samples and have not covered the Pacific Ocean particularly well. |
| Assumptions | Enough work has been undertaken elsewhere to evaluate effective tagging, genetic or other methods. The personnel and budget are available to undertake this work. |
| Scope | This work should have two phases. Phase 1: determine the best and most cost effective method to assess whale shark stock structure in the Pacific Ocean; and Phase 2: pending approval from SC14, undertake the biological sampling and analysis proposed under Phase 1. Phase 1 of this project should be a desktop analysis to outline effective methods and design ways to undertake the analyses, provide full costings for each and identify potential difficulties with each method. This work should include potential costings of each method and be presented to SC14 for consideration of Phase 2. Note: at SC12 a review of the data availability, data quality and data gaps for sharks |
| | was proposed, the results of that work presented in this EB-WP-07 should to be considered prior to considering this project. |
| Budget | 0.3 FTE |

| Sheet Number | 6 |
|---------------|---|
| Project title | Shark Modelling Project |
| Objectives | Modelling to account for the bias in the spatial distribution of observer data, total effort, size of the fishery, distribution of effort, catch and bycatch, and spatial stratification of the fishery in key stock assessment inputs. |
| Rationale | Inconsistencies in the distribution of the observed data and distribution of the fishery can impact estimates of CPUE and catch. This project will produce alternative catch and CPUE time series estimates that can be used as alternative states of nature in future stock assessments. It builds upon the findings of analyses performed under WCPFC SC project 78. |
| Assumptions | The information exists and can be located in a reasonable timeframe The regional observer data and logsheet data can be accessed by the analyst. The observer data and logsheet data can be linked at the level of the set. |
| Scope | Shark stock assessments in the past have suffered from a lack of data leading to large amounts of uncertainty in the assessment outputs. The assessments have not only suffered from a lack of catch data, but where data exist changes in targeting through time have impacted the reliability of the CPUE as an index of abundance. This work will assess the effect of the spatial coverage of longline and purse seine observer effort in relation to the spatial coverage of the fishing effort, and the influence of match/mismatch of these two metrics on the estimation of catch and |
| | CPUE for each of the selected key shark species in these fisheries. To examine the potential interactions between shark species with different geographic distributions and interacting fisheries: |
| | as a minimum for longline there should be one model run for silky, oceanic whitetip, hammerhead and thresher sharks that uses the best understanding of these species' distribution, the fleet effort distribution and potential observer coverage distributions; one for mako and blue shark in the northern hemisphere; and one for porbeagle in the south. The results will be compared between the known and the uniform distribution of sampling effort and then used to quantify the gaps. This will then be repeated for FAL and OCS using the purse seine data. |
| | The outputs will then be run through SS3 models to assess whether the data are sufficient to allow the model to assess alternative levels of depletion, such as 5%, 40% and 75% depletion. |
| Budget | 0.5 FTE |

| Sheet Number | 7 |
|--------------|--|
| Project | Operational planning for shark biological data improvement |
| Objectives | Collect, review and prioritize a list of biological data gaps for the WCPFC key shark species and propose a scalable and practical plan for filling them |
| Rationale |) The Pacific Shark Life History Expert Panel Workshop urged the t-RFMOs to be more proactive in setting a research agenda for life history and stock structure research) ISC and ICCAT have developed mechanisms for this type of work, but there is little shark biological work being done by the WCPFC) Various recommendations for further studies have been made by the Shark Research Plan, various stock assessments and the Expert Panel) The regional observer programme and SPC tissue bank provide opportunities for sample collection and access) It is difficult to begin filling data gaps without a focused, practical plan that can be proposed and costed) This project will develop such a plan, thereby spinning-off implementable projects that can proceed if funded |
| Assumptions | <i>f</i> There are cost-effective ways of gathering the necessary data and conducting the appropriate analyses <i>f</i> CCMs, or other national entities, will assist with sample collection and/or research coordination <i>f</i> SPC or another regional body is willing to act as the focal point for implementation of the future biological data improvement plan <i>f</i> At least some of the projects developed can be funded through WCPFC or other sources |
| Scope | Review the Shark Research Plan, shark stock assessments in the WCPO and elsewhere, the report of the Pacific Shark Life History Expert Panel Workshop and the review of shark data and modelling framework report (SC13) to develop a list of biological studies necessary to support conservation and management for WCPFC key shark species, potentially including: |
| Budget | 0.2 FTE |

| Sheet Number | 8 |
|---------------|--|
| Project title | Assess spawner recruit relationships |
| Objectives | Assess methods to determine the stock recruit relationships for WCPO key shark species and propose methods to be used for future stock assessments. |
| Rationale | Shark stock assessments in the WCPO have historically been particularly challenging and the results are often uncertain and considered works in progress. One major uncertainty is the ambiguity in the estimated stock recruitment relationship. This project will develop methods to assess the stock recruit relationships for elasmobranchs and propose methods and quanta (e.g. an appropriate range of steepness values) to be considered in future assessments. |
| Assumptions | <i>f</i> The data are available to undertake this work. <i>f</i> The personnel and budget are available to undertake this work. |
| Scope | The spawner recruitment relationship for elasmobranchs is particularly opaque and difficult to estimate in assessments. This opacity resulted in particular problems in some previous assessments, particularly for the blue shark assessment in the North Pacific model that used the low fecundity spawner recruitment relationship, where the resulting stock status conclusions were extremely sensitive to the shape of the curve. An assessment of the appropriate way to model elasmobranch stock recruitment relationships should be undertaken. Note the ISC SHARKWG has undertaken a meta-analysis to assess shark stock recruitment relationships in general and this will need to be taken into consideration when undertaking this work. |
| Budget | 0.5 FTE |

Work proposed by SC13 but not progressed in 2017/18

| Sheet Number | SC 13 sheet 3 - NOT DONE |
|--------------|--|
| Project | Participation in ISC North Pacific shortfin mako shark stock assessment activities |
| Objectives | Contribute to and learn from ISC work toward revising the North Pacific shortfin mako shark stock assessment, thereby aiding methods development for other WCPO shark stocks. |
| Rationale | The ISC will be working toward an assessment of the North Pacific shortfin mako in 2017-2018 with an aim to complete it by July 2018 The ISC assessment would benefit from the contribution of additional shortfin mako observer data (catch rates and total removals) in the North Pacific Participation in this collaborative stock assessment may lead to the development of new methods and/or new data insights for a future South Pacific shortfin mako assessment Cooperation between the WCPFC and its Northern Committee could be strengthened |
| Assumptions | If SPC were available to participate, it would contribute its shortfin mako data holdings If the Secretariat or ABNJ participates, fewer data can be contributed due to data confidentiality rules ISC is able and willing to incorporate these contributions to its work ISC meetings avoid scheduling conflicts with other work |
| Scope | Available WCPO data would be compiled, formatted and analysed to produce data products that could be contributed to ISC Shark Working Group (SWG) meetings (no raw data would be contributed; this is similar to the contributions of ISC member countries). Data to be prepared would depend on needs identified by the ISC SWG but would be expected to include catch rate indices, catch estimates, effort statistics and/or biological data. It is assumed that participation in two ISC SWG meetings would be required (the FTE estimate is intended to account for both time and travel costs). These have tentatively been scheduled for November 2017 in Japan (data preparation meeting) and March-April 2018 in La Jolla (assessment meeting). Total time input including data handling and analysis, ISC SWG meetings and other tasks, and report review is estimated at ~2.5 months. |
| Budget | 0.2 FTE |

| Sheet Number | SC 13 sheet 7 - PROPOSED TO CANCEL AS PART OF proj 78 |
|---------------|---|
| Project title | SRP mid-term review |
| Objectives | Review the WCPFC Scientific Committee's 2016-2020 shark research plan, to evaluate progress against the plan and assess future needs for shark research relevant to management of the WCPO shark stocks. |
| Rationale | The first Shark Research Plan (SRP) covered 2010-2014. At its Tenth Session the Scientific Committee (SC10) agreed in 2014 on a programme of shark work for the Scientific Service Provider (SSP). This work was to be carried out in 2015, and included that the SSP draft a new SRP for consideration by SC11 to cover work in 2016-2020. This project will evaluate progress against that plan and consider the future shark information needs of the WCPFC. |
| | This work will also evaluate the progress against and need for the original SRP components: |
| | Phase 1: assessments to be undertaken with existing and available data; Phase 2: coordination of research efforts to supplement biological and other assessment related information; and Phase 3: improvement of data from commercial fisheries. |
| Assumptions | SPC or another regional body has the personnel and budget available to undertake this work. |
| Scope | While this document will focus on the WCPFC key shark species, other elasmobranchs will be considered as required. |
| Budget | 0.3 FTE |

| Sheet Number | SC13 sheet 8 - PROPOSED TO CANCEL and make part of #4 |
|---------------|--|
| Project title | Hammerhead shark catch histories |
| Objectives | In order to account for the bias or lack of catch reporting, catch histories will need to be developed prior to any form of assessment. This work will attempt to develop methods for estimation of catch using recent observer data for hammerhead sharks in the WCPO. Using commercial logsheet data, the catch proportions (or other relevant quanta) will then be used to back-calculate catch of hammerhead sharks the WCPO fisheries. |
| Rationale | Lack of catch reporting form sharks has resulted in poor or absent catch histories for most species. This work will build on relevant findings of SC13-EB-WP-07 to estimate historic catch based on recent catch effort and fishery distribution data. These data can then be used as official catch history estimates for future assessment work. |
| Assumptions |) The information exists and can be located in a reasonable timeframe) The regional observer data and logsheet data can be accessed by the analyst.) The observer data and logsheet data can be linked at the level of the set.) Outputs of SC13-EB-WP-07 indicate that an analysis is potentially feasible for hammerhead shark. |
| Scope | Shark stock assessments in the past have suffered from a lack of data leading to large amounts of uncertainty in the assessment outputs. The assessments have not only suffered from a lack of catch data, but where data exist changes in targeting through time have impacted the reliability of the CPUE as an index of abundance. One of the most time consuming aspects of shark assessments is the development of reliable catch histories, and for future assessments this should be done prior to considering an assessment attempt. This work will assess the effect of the spatial coverage of longline and purse seine observer effort in relation to the spatial coverage of the fishing effort, and the |
| | Influence of match/mismatch of these two metrics on the estimation of catch and CPUE for each of the selected key shark species in these fisheries. Following an analysis of the level and appropriateness of species-specific hammerhead shark data in space, time and fishery, catch history estimates will be generated at appropriate species and species group levels. |
| | Note: 1) at SC12 a review of the data availability, data quality and data gaps for sharks was proposed, the results of that work may need to be considered prior to considering this work; 2) there may be substantial overlap with project 6 above and this work may benefit from being combined with that project. |
| Budget | 0.5 FTE |