## BYCATCH OF THE EUROPEAN PURSE-SEINE TUNA FISHERY IN THE ATLANTIC OCEAN FOR THE PERIOD 2010-2016

# J. Ruiz Gondra<sup>\*1</sup>, J. Lopez<sup>2</sup>, F.J. Abascal<sup>3</sup>, P.J. Pascual Alayon<sup>3</sup>, M.J. Amandè<sup>4</sup>, P. Bach<sup>6</sup>, P. Cauquil<sup>5</sup>, H. Murua<sup>2</sup>, M.L. Ramos Alonso<sup>3</sup>, P.S. Sabarros<sup>5</sup>

#### SUMMARY

This paper presents an update for the period 2010-2016 of the bycatch estimations for the European tuna purse seine fishery operating in the Atlantic Ocean. Bycatch data were collected by observers onboard. Observer coverage increased progressively from 15 trips in 2010, to 114 and 107 trips in 2015 and 2016 respectively. Bycatch data, as collected by the observers, were stratified by quarter and fishing mode (free school and floating object sets). The ratio of total to observed catches of the target species (skipjack, bigeye and yellowfin tunas) in each stratum was then used as raising factor. The average of the annual total bycatch estimated for the studied period was 9,515 t. Tunas (neritic tunas and small size tunas) represent the major part of the bycatch, followed by fin fish, sharks, billfishes, rays and turtles.

#### RÉSUMÉ

Cet article présente une mise à jour concernant la période 2010-2016 des estimations des prises accessoires de la pêcherie des senneurs thoniers de l'Union européenne opérant dans l'océan Atlantique. Les données sur les prises accessoires ont été recueillies par des observateurs à bord. La couverture par des observateurs a progressivement augmenté, passant de 15 sorties en 2010 à 114 sorties en 2015 et 107 sorties en 2016. Les données sur les prises accessoires, recueillies par les observateurs, ont été stratifiées par trimestre et par mode de pêche (sur bancs libres et sous objets flottants). Le ratio entre les prises totales et les prises observées des espèces cibles (listao, thon obèse et albacore) dans chaque strate a ensuite été utilisé comme facteur d'extrapolation La moyenne des prises accessoires annuelles estimées pour la période étudiée s'élevait à 9.515 t. Les thonidés (thons néritiques et thons de petite taille) représentent la majeure partie des prises accessoires, suivis des poissons à nageoires, des requins, des istiophoridés, des raies et des tortues.

#### RESUMEN

En este documento se presenta una actualización para el periodo 2010-2016 de las estimaciones de captura fortuita de la pesquería de cerco atunera europea que opera en el océano Atlántico. Los datos de captura fortuita fueron recopilados por observadores a bordo. La cobertura de observadores se incrementó progresivamente pasando de 15 mareas en 2010 a 114 y 107 mareas en 2015 y 2016 respectivamente. Los datos de captura fortuita, tal y como fueron recopilados por los observadores, se estratificaron por trimestre y modo de pesca (lance sobre banco libre y sobre objeto flotante). A continuación, se utilizó como factor de extrapolación la ratio de capturas totales y capturas observadas de especies objetivo (listado, patudo y rabil) en cada estrato. El promedio de captura fortuita total anual estimada para el periodo estudiado se situó en 9.515 t. Los túnidos (túnidos neríticos y túnidos de talla pequeña) representan la mayor parte de la captura fortuita, seguidos por los peces de aleta, tiburones, marlines, rayas y tortugas.

#### KEYWORDS

Bycatch, purse seining, Atlantic Ocean

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> AZTI Technalia, Sukarrieta, Spain (\* jruiz@azti.es)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> AZTI Technalia, Pasaia, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>IEO, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Centre de Recherches Oceanologique, Abidjan, Ivory Coast

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>IRD, Ob7, Sète, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>IRD, Ob7, Victoria, Seychelles

#### Introduction

All fishing methods aim to extract wild species from the aquatic environment. When fishing, other accessory species, also known as "bycatch" are caught in addition to the target species. The bycatch varies according to various factors, like fishing techniques, or market factors (Kelleher, 2005). On the other hand, the dynamics of populations, such as seasonal migrations, high recruit's concentrations in certain areas or spawning in certain zones and times, can change the amount of bycatch seasonally and geographically (Lart *et al.*, 2002). Obtaining quantitative and qualitative information (composition by species) and its evolution over a period is fundamental for a better management of resources (Lart *et al.*, 2002), not only from the point of view of the management of commercial stocks but also from the point of view of ecosystem management.

Regarding the tropical tuna purse seine fishery, several papers and documents in the past have provided information on bycatches and discards (Peatman *et al.*, 2017; Hall and Roman, 2013; Amandè *et al.*, 2008), some of them referring specifically to the European purse seine fishery operating in the Atlantic Ocean (Amandè *et al.*, 2010; Amandè *et al.*, 2011).

The main objective of this paper is to present an update on the bycatch estimations for European tuna purse seine fishery operating in the Atlantic Ocean, with the aim of understanding better the impact of the fisheries on the environment. For this purpose, bycatch was defined as the discard of target species (skipjack, yellowfin and bigeye tuna) plus the catch of non-target species, whatever the fate is.

## 1. Method

#### 1.1. Data

The data collected by independent observers during fishing operations are commonly used to complement other data, such as those from port sampling or skippers' logbooks. For some types of data, such as bycatch and discards, observer programs can be the most reliable, and sometimes the only source of information available. Observer programs are becoming an increasingly important tool to monitor tropical tuna fisheries. Under the ICCAT regulation, there is a recommendation of 5% coverage for large fishing vessels (ICCAT, 2010). Since 2003, Spain and France have been conducting a coordinated observer program as part of the Spanish and French National Programs for the Data Collection in the Fisheries sector established according to the European Regulations (Commission Regulation (EC) No. 665/2008). Since 2012, monitoring requirement increases to 100% for purse seiners during a two-month prohibition on FAD fishing in an area off western Africa (ICCAT Rec. 11-01; ICCAT Rec. 15-01). In addition, observer coverage increased significantly during recent years through private contracts between industry and scientific institutes. Data for the analyses has been collected under all these different monitoring programs.

Observer coverage increased progressively from 15 trips in 2010 to 114 and 107 trips in 2015 and 2016 respectively. Thus, the coverage of data varies significantly between the first years of the series, where only the DCF sampling existed, and the last ones where, through the different observer programs, the number of observed fishing operations is above 2,000 (**Figure 1**). In terms of production, observed coverage is between 8-9% in the first years of the study period, and around 50-60% in the most recent years (**Table 1**).

## 1.2. Analysis and raising

Bycatch was assumed to be linearly correlated with production (Amandè *et al.*, 2010), understood as the total landings of target tuna species (skipjack, yellowfin and bigeye tuna). Thus, the total production of the EU purse seine fleet was used as the ratio estimator for the raising of the total bycatch in weight. Extrapolation was done yearly, and stratified by quarter and fishing type; sets on floating objects (FOB) and free school sets (FSC).

## 2. Results

The average of the annual total bycatch estimated for the studied period was 9,515 t, with a minimum of 6,734 t in 2011 and a maximum of 13,204 t in 2013 (**Table 2; Figure 2**). **Figure 3** shows the same estimates by quarter. In relation to the fishing mode, most of the bycatch occurs in FOB sets, representing more than 80% of the total annual bycatch in the whole period, and reaching 95% in 2014 (**Table 4**). Tunas (neritic tunas and discards of major tunas) represent the major part of the bycatch, followed by fin fish, sharks, billfishes, rays, and turtles.

## 2.1. Tunas

Tunas constitute the bulk of the bycatch both in FOB and FSC, between 67% - 89% and between 36% - 88% respectively (**Table 4**). In terms of species composition, species of the genera *Auxis* and *Euthynnus* are the predominant, both in FOB and FSC. Regarding target species, skipjack is the main discarded tuna in FOB sets (around 20% of the total tuna bycatch). In the case of FSC, where the tuna bycatch is much lower, it is not so clear which is the predominant species. However, the importance of *Thunnus alalunga* is significant compared to that on FOB sets (**figure 4**).

## 2.2. Fin-fish

After tunas, "other fin fish" is the group that most contribute to the total bycatch, mainly due to FOB sets (**Table 4**). In terms of species composition, the number of fin fish species present within the observed fishing operations exceeds 60 in FOB sets, and 40 FSC sets. However, there are a few predominant species in both cases (**Figure 4**). *Acanthocybium solandri, Coryphaena hippurus, Elagatis bipinnulata, Canthidermis maculata and Caranx crysos* are the main caught species. However, this predominance is less evident in FSC sets, where *Mola mola* has a significant importance.

## 2.3. Shark

163 whale sharks (*Rhyncodon typus*) catch events were reported by observers during the whole studied period (**Table 6**). These events where particularly reported in the Cape Lopez area. Whale sharks escaped from the net or were discarded alive almost always before the retrieval of the net. Subsequently shark group bycatch estimation did not include whale sharks.

In total terms, the estimated shark bycatch quantity is similar in FSC and FOB, and the annual average bycatch for the study period is around 245 t per year. However, the variability between years is higher in FSC (**Table 2**). In terms of the species composition, *Carcharhinus falciformis* is the main species. In the case of the FOB sets, along with sharks of the family Sphyrnidae (mainly *Sphyrna lewini* and *Sphyrna zygaena*). In FSC sets, *Prionace glauca* becomes more important (**Figure 4**).

## 2.4. Billfish

Billfish catches accounted for around 2% and 6% of the total bycatch in FOB and FSC sets respectively (**Table 4**). In terms of species composition, *Makaira nigricans* is the predominant species in FOB sets, while *Istiophorus albicans* is the main species in FSC sets (**Figure 4**).

## 2.5. Turtles

1,228 turtle catch events were reported by observers during the whole studied period, 925 in FOB sets and 303 in FSC sets (**Table 7**). 99,1% were released alive. In terms of species composition, *Lepidochelys olivacea* was the main caught species followed by *Caretta caretta*. Regarding spatial distribution, most of the catches (68%) were observed in Cape Lopez.

## 2.6. Cetaceans

202 cetacean catch events were reported by observers during the whole studied period (**Table 7**). All of them were discarded alive, and were discarded almost always before the retrieval of the net.

## 3. Acknowledgments

Authors would like to acknowledge organizations responsible of the data collection funding: Data presented in this paper where collected in the frame of the EU Data Collection Framework, the French OCUP "Observateur Commun Unique et Permanent" supported by French purse seine companies (Orthongel, professional organization of the French tropical tuna purse seine fishery), and the Spanish "Best practices monitoring program" supported by Spanish purse seine associations (ANABAC and OPAGAC).

#### References

- Amandè, J. M., Ariz, J., Chassot, E., Chavance, P., Delgado de Molina, A., Gaertner, D., Murua, H., Pianet, R., Ruiz, J. 2008. By-catch and discards of the European purse seine tuna fishery in the Indian Ocean: characteristics and estimation for the 2003-2007 period. IOTC-2008-WPEB-12. 23 pp.
- Amandè, J.M., Ariz, J., Chassot, E., Chavance, P., Delgado de Molina, A., Gaertner, D., Murua, H., Pianet, R., Ruiz, J. 2010. By-catch and discards of the European purse seine tuna fishery in the Atlantic Ocean. Estimation and characteristics for the 2003-2007 period. Aquatic Living Resources, 23(04):353-362
- Amandè, J.M., Ariz, J., Chassot, E., Chavance, P., Delgado de Molina, A., Gaertner, D., Murua, H., Pianet, R., Ruiz, J. 2011. By-catch and discards of the European purse seine tuna fishery in the Atlantic Ocean: estimation and characteristics for 2008 and 2009. Collect. Vol. Sci. Pap. ICCAT, 66(5): 2113-2120 (2011)
- Hall, M.; Roman, M. 2013. Bycatch and non-tuna catch in the tropical tuna purse seine fisheries of the world. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper No. 568. Rome, FAO. 249 pp.
- ICCAT, 2010. Recommendation by ICCAT to establish minimum standards for fishing vessel scientific observer programs. ICCAT Recommendation 10-10.
- ICCAT, 2011. Recommendation by ICCAT on a multiannual conservation and management program for bigeye and yellowfin tunas. ICCAT Recommendation 11-01.
- ICCAT, 2015. Recommendation by ICCAT on a multiannual conservation and management program for bigeye and yellowfin tunas. ICCAT Recommendation 15-01.
- Kelleher, K. 2005. Discards in the word's marine fisheries. FAO Fishery technical paper 470
- Lart, W., Findlay, M., Hewer, A., Hugues-Dit-Ciles, E., Kingston, A., Searle, A. 2002. Monitoring of discarding and retention by trawl fisheries in Western Waters and the Irish Sea in relation to stock assessment and technical measures. Contract Ref. 98/095. January 2002
- Peatman, T., Allain, V., Caillot, S., Williams, P., Smith, N. 2017. Summary of purse seine fishery bycatch at a regional scale, 2003-2016. 13<sup>th</sup> Regular Session of the Scientific Committee of the WCPFC. Rarotonga, Cook Islands 9-17 August 2017. WCPFC-SC13-2017/ST-WP-05.

Production on observed trips											
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016				
FOB	3789	3160	4553	18201	32332	43059	35120				
FSC	4531	5614	6127	12431	21957	25192	28827				
Total	8320	8774	10680	30632	54289	68251	63947				
Total EU production											
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016				
FOB	57666	56567	71497	78629	71721	69233	71581				
FSC	52475	49493	48062	42562	37123	43160	53364				
Total	110141	106060	119559	121191	108845	112393	124945				
<b>Observed production</b>	coverage	<u>.</u>			-		<u>.</u>				
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016				
FOB	7%	6%	6%	23%	45%	62%	49%				
FSC	9%	11%	13%	29%	59%	58%	54%				
Total	8%	8%	9%	25%	50%	61%	51%				

 Table 1. Observed coverage in terms of production.

Table 2. Estimated total bycatch (tones) by species group and fishing mode for the period 2010-2016.

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
FOB							-
Billfishes	136	99	184	126	133	135	147
Bony fishes	699	955	1,929	1,192	1,196	1,767	2,256
Rays	10	7	40	100	19	11	35
Sharks	131	190	125	290	353	360	402
Target Tunas	806	1,222	3,323	2,044	2,659	1,196	945
Other Tunas	7,093	2,934	6,519	3,916	3,530	4,030	4,796
Turtles	27	7	21	22	20	10	28
Total FOB	8,902	5,414	12,142	7,689	7,910	7,510	8,609
FSC							
Billfishes	106	73	87	62	31	37	41
Bony fishes	95	23	310	12	7	16	18
Rays	31	11	11	27	6	11	32
Sharks	148	42	3	229	146	462	664
Target Tunas	60	1,138	58	47	59	373	230
Other Tunas	1,413	26	560	144	187	905	409
Turtles	14	7	33	7	6	6	8
Total FSC	1,868	1,320	1,062	528	442	1,810	1,402
TOTAL	10,770	6,734	13,204	8,216	8,352	9,319	10,011

	-	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
	FOB	-	,			-		
Billfishes		2.82	1.93	2.53	1.62	1.89	1.95	2.03
Bony fishes		13.26	15.08	27.06	18.55	16.85	26.08	29.77
Rays		0.12	0.15	0.94	0.85	0.28	0.16	0.47
Sharks		1.97	2.78	1.18	4.48	5.14	5.09	5.69
Target Tunas		13.77	22.08	57.17	25.55	32.92	18.65	12.61
Other Tunas		92.89	30.95	71.14	47.26	51.29	57.19	70.92
Turtles		0.46	0.10	0.42	0.23	0.25	0.14	0.37
	FSC							
Billfishes		2.03	1.56	2.23	1.23	0.82	0.83	0.78
Bony fishes		1.79	0.52	2.96	0.30	0.16	0.33	0.37
Rays		0.58	0.22	0.27	0.56	0.14	0.26	0.56
Sharks		2.81	1.06	0.07	5.55	3.28	10.73	11.43
Target Tunas		1.13	33.58	1.64	1.23	1.62	9.49	4.00
Other Tunas		26.36	0.53	14.27	2.64	4.68	20.99	7.30
Turtles		0.27	0.18	0.37	0.14	0.15	0.11	0.14

**Table 3.** Bycatch tones per 1000 t of production (BET + YFT + SKJ landed) by species group and fishing mode for the period 2010-2016.

**Table 4.** Estimated bycatch percentage by fishing mode for the period 2010-2016.

		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
FOB	-	83%	80%	92%	94%	95%	81%	86%
	Billfishes	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	Bony fish	8%	18%	16%	15%	15%	24%	26%
	Rays	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
	Sharks	1%	4%	1%	4%	4%	5%	5%
	Tunas	89%	77%	81%	78%	78%	70%	67%
	Turtles	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
FSC		17%	20%	8%	6%	5%	19%	14%
	Billfishes	6%	6%	8%	12%	7%	2%	3%
	Bony fish	5%	2%	29%	2%	2%	1%	1%
	Rays	2%	1%	1%	5%	1%	1%	2%
	Sharks	8%	3%	0%	43%	33%	26%	47%
	Tunas	79%	88%	58%	36%	56%	71%	46%
	Turtles	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	0%	1%

		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
FOB				5		10	5	5
	Delphinidae			1				
	Globicephala macrorhynd	chus				4		
	Globicephala melas			4				
	Mammalia					1	4	4
	Megaptera novaeangliae						1	
	Mysticeti					5		1
FSC		17	1	3	2	40	18	96
	Balaenoptera edeni	1					2	5
	Balaenoptera physalus	12			1	1		
	Globicephala macrorhynd	chus		3				
	Globicephala melas				1			
	Mammalia	1				23	4	42
	Megaptera novaeangliae	3				1		3
	Mysticeti		1			12	12	46
	Physeter macrocephalus					3		

**Table 5.** Number of events with cetaceans observed during the period 2010-2016.

Table 6. Number of whale shark catches observed by ET area during the period 2010-2016.

AREA	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	TOTAL
Cap Lopez	5		2	5	33	20	62	127
Equator NE					20		1	21
Nord Piccolo			1		5	1	1	8
Piccolo			1					1
Senegal					1	1		2
Sud Equator	2			2				4
TOTAL	7	0	4	7	59	22	64	163

	Cape	Equator	Nord Discolo	Piccolo	Senegal	Sud Equator	Total
EOP	 697	NE 67	22	11	01		025
FOD Thrown alive into the	007	07		11	91	30	925
sca							
Caretta caretta	55	7	10	1	63	3	139
Chelonia mydas	36	3			1		40
Dermochelys coriacea	5	1	8	1	1	4	20
Eretmochelys imbricata	5	2			2		9
Lepidochelys kempii	20	2		1		1	24
Lepidochelys olivacea	520	36	11	6	19	26	618
Non identified turtle	44	13	4	2	3	1	67
Thrown dead into the							
sea							
Caretta caretta		1					1
Eretmochelys imbricata		1					1
Lepidochelys olivacea	1	1			2	1	5
Non identified turtle	1						1
FSC	153	45	50	11	20	24	303
Thrown alive into the							
sea							
Caretta caretta	7	5	15		16	1	44
Chelonia mydas	8	4				2	14
Dermochelys coriacea	2	1	22	1		9	35
Eretmochelys imbricata	3	1	1	1			6
Lepidochelys kempii	11	3	1				15
Lonido cholug olivaçõa	112	24	7	8	3	12	166
Leptaochetys olivacea	10	C	2	1	1		10
Non laentifiea turtie	10	0	Z	1	1		18
Thrown dead into the sea							
Dermochelys coriacea			1				1
Lepidochelys kempii		1					1
Lepidochelys olivacea			1				1



Figure 1. Number of sets observed by fishing mode (FOB: sets on floating objects; FSC: sets on free schools).



Figure 2. Total estimated bycatch (tons) by species group for the period 2010-2016.



Figure 3. Total estimated bycatch (tons) by species group and quarter, for the period 2010-2016.



Figure 4. Species composition by fishing mode and species group for the period 2010-2016.